



---

**UNITED STATES  
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION  
Washington, D.C. 20549**

---

**FORM 10-Q**

---

(Mark One)

- QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the quarterly period ended June 30, 2010**

or

- TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE  
SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934**

**For the transition period from**

**Commission File Number 0-25346**

---

**ACI WORLDWIDE, INC.**

(Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

**Delaware**

(State or other jurisdiction of  
incorporation or organization)

**47-0772104**

(I.R.S. Employer  
Identification No.)

**120 Broadway, Suite 3350**

**New York, New York 10271**

(Address of principal executive offices,  
including zip code)

**(646) 348-6700**

(Registrant's telephone number,  
including area code)

---

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of the Regulation S-T during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes  No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer" and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer

Smaller reporting company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes  No

As of July 29, 2010, there were 33,357,004 shares of the registrant's common stock outstanding.

---

---

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	<u>Page</u>
<b><u>PART I — FINANCIAL INFORMATION</u></b>	
<u>Item 1. Financial Statements</u>	
<u>Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009</u>	3
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009</u>	4
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statement of Stockholders' Equity and Comprehensive Loss for the six months ended June 30, 2010</u>	5
<u>Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009</u>	6
<u>Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements</u>	7
<u>Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations</u>	20
<u>Item 3. Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk</u>	37
<u>Item 4. Controls and Procedures</u>	38
<b><u>PART II — OTHER INFORMATION</u></b>	
<u>Item 1. Legal Proceedings</u>	38
<u>Item 1A. Risk Factors</u>	38
<u>Item 2. Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds</u>	38
<u>Item 3. Defaults Upon Senior Securities</u>	39
<u>Item 5. Other Information</u>	39
<u>Item 6. Exhibits</u>	39
<u>Signature</u>	40
<u>Exhibit Index</u>	41
<u>Exhibit 31.01</u>	
<u>Exhibit 31.02</u>	
<u>Exhibit 32.01</u>	
<u>Exhibit 32.02</u>	
<u>EX-101 INSTANCE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 SCHEMA DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 CALCULATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 LABELS LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 PRESENTATION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	
<u>EX-101 DEFINITION LINKBASE DOCUMENT</u>	

**ACI WORLDWIDE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS**  
**(unaudited and in thousands, except share and per share amounts)**

	<u>June 30,</u> <u>2010</u>	<u>December 31,</u> <u>2009</u>
<b>ASSETS</b>		
<b>Current assets</b>		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 117,757	\$ 125,917
Billed receivables, net of allowances of \$2,871 and \$2,732, respectively	76,536	98,915
Accrued receivables	8,104	9,468
Deferred income taxes, net	16,164	17,459
Recoverable income taxes	5,701	—
Prepaid expenses	12,757	12,079
Other current assets	12,028	10,224
<b>Total current assets</b>	<u>249,047</u>	<u>274,062</u>
Property and equipment, net	17,868	17,570
Software, net	25,099	30,037
Goodwill	199,737	204,850
Other intangible assets, net	23,123	26,906
Deferred income taxes, net	26,882	26,024
Other noncurrent assets	10,760	10,594
<b>TOTAL ASSETS</b>	<u>\$ 552,516</u>	<u>\$ 590,043</u>
<b>LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>		
<b>Current liabilities</b>		
Accounts payable	\$ 11,031	\$ 17,591
Accrued employee compensation	19,456	24,492
Deferred revenue	113,346	106,349
Income taxes payable	1,425	10,681
Alliance agreement liability	5,298	10,507
Accrued and other current liabilities	22,082	25,780
<b>Total current liabilities</b>	<u>172,638</u>	<u>195,400</u>
Deferred revenue	37,108	31,533
Note payable under credit facility	75,000	75,000
Alliance agreement noncurrent liability	21,824	21,980
Other noncurrent liabilities	28,679	30,067
<b>Total liabilities</b>	<u>335,249</u>	<u>353,980</u>
Commitments and contingencies (Note 13)		
<b>Stockholders' equity</b>		
Preferred stock, \$0.01 par value; 5,000,000 shares authorized; no shares issued and outstanding at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009	—	—
Common stock, \$0.005 par value; 70,000,000 shares authorized; 40,821,516 shares issued at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009	204	204
Common stock warrants	24,003	24,003
Treasury stock, at cost, 7,473,161 and 6,784,932 shares outstanding at June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, respectively	(170,624)	(158,652)
Additional paid-in capital	309,393	307,279
Retained earnings	75,855	78,094
Accumulated other comprehensive loss	(21,564)	(14,865)
<b>Total stockholders' equity</b>	<u>217,267</u>	<u>236,063</u>
<b>TOTAL LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY</b>	<u>\$ 552,516</u>	<u>\$ 590,043</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

**ACI WORLDWIDE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS**  
(unaudited and in thousands, except per share amounts)

	<u>Three Months Ended June 30,</u>		<u>Six Months Ended June 30,</u>	
	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>	<u>2010</u>	<u>2009</u>
<b>Revenues:</b>				
Software license fees	\$ 31,399	\$ 26,433	\$ 60,716	\$ 57,253
Maintenance fees	34,207	31,928	67,629	61,854
Services	17,187	17,691	31,805	35,609
Software hosting fees	9,630	11,118	20,016	20,667
<b>Total revenues</b>	<u>92,423</u>	<u>87,170</u>	<u>180,166</u>	<u>175,383</u>
<b>Expenses:</b>				
Cost of software license fees (1)	3,107	3,833	6,181	7,000
Cost of maintenance, services, and hosting fees (1)	29,303	27,955	57,195	55,177
Research and development	18,798	19,932	37,194	38,905
Selling and marketing	15,989	15,511	32,834	30,619
General and administrative	15,735	18,865	33,197	40,369
Depreciation and amortization	5,125	4,310	10,104	8,656
<b>Total expenses</b>	<u>88,057</u>	<u>90,406</u>	<u>176,705</u>	<u>180,726</u>
<b>Operating income (loss)</b>	4,366	(3,236)	3,461	(5,343)
<b>Other income (expense):</b>				
Interest income	126	446	250	747
Interest expense	(541)	(526)	(1,064)	(1,295)
Other, net	(1,682)	(3,615)	(1,896)	(4,735)
<b>Total other income (expense)</b>	<u>(2,097)</u>	<u>(3,695)</u>	<u>(2,710)</u>	<u>(5,283)</u>
<b>Income (loss) before income taxes</b>	2,269	(6,931)	751	(10,626)
Income tax expense (benefit)	2,419	(3,369)	2,990	(2,932)
<b>Net loss</b>	<u>\$ (150)</u>	<u>\$ (3,562)</u>	<u>\$ (2,239)</u>	<u>\$ (7,694)</u>

**Loss per share information**

Weighted average shares outstanding				
Basic	33,500	34,129	33,612	34,324
Diluted	33,500	34,129	33,612	34,324

**Loss per share**

Basic	\$ (0.00)	\$ (0.10)	\$ (0.07)	\$ (0.22)
Diluted	\$ (0.00)	\$ (0.10)	\$ (0.07)	\$ (0.22)

(1) The cost of software license fees excludes charges for depreciation but includes amortization of purchased and developed software for resale. The cost of maintenance, services, and hosting fees excludes charges for depreciation.

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

**ACI WORLDWIDE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY AND COMPREHENSIVE LOSS**  
**(unaudited and in thousands)**

	Common Stock	Common Stock Warrants	Treasury Stock	Additional Paid-in Capital	Retained Earnings	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Total
<b>Balance as of December 31, 2009</b>	\$ 204	\$ 24,003	\$ (158,652)	\$ 307,279	\$ 78,094	\$ (14,865)	\$ 236,063
Comprehensive loss information:							
Net loss	—	—	—	—	(2,239)	—	(2,239)
Other comprehensive loss:							
Foreign currency translation adjustments	—	—	—	—	—	(6,699)	(6,699)
Comprehensive loss	—	—	—	—	—	—	(8,938)
Repurchase of common stock	—	—	(15,665)	—	—	—	(15,665)
Issuance of common stock pursuant to Employee Stock Purchase Plan	—	—	716	(266)	—	—	450
Exercises of stock options	—	—	3,485	(1,393)	—	—	2,092
Tax benefit of stock options exercised	—	—	—	(78)	—	—	(78)
Stock-based compensation	—	—	—	3,598	—	—	3,598
Forfeiture of non-vested RSAs	—	—	(637)	637	—	—	—
Non-vested restricted share awards subject to redemption	—	—	384	(384)	—	—	—
Repurchase of restricted stock for tax withholdings	—	—	(255)	—	—	—	(255)
<b>Balance as of June 30, 2010</b>	<u>\$ 204</u>	<u>\$ 24,003</u>	<u>\$ (170,624)</u>	<u>\$ 309,393</u>	<u>\$ 75,855</u>	<u>\$ (21,564)</u>	<u>\$ 217,267</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

**ACI WORLDWIDE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS**  
**(unaudited and in thousands)**

	<b>For the Six Months Ended June 30,</b>	
	<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
<b>Cash flows from operating activities:</b>		
Net loss	\$ (2,239)	\$ (7,694)
Adjustments to reconcile net loss to net cash flows from operating activities		
Depreciation	3,330	3,145
Amortization	9,796	8,325
Tax expense of intellectual property shift	1,100	1,100
Deferred income taxes	4,895	(7,503)
Stock-based compensation expense	3,598	4,642
Tax benefit of stock options exercised	211	12
Other	337	(108)
Changes in operating assets and liabilities, net:		
Billed and accrued receivables, net	16,112	17,476
Other current assets	(2,918)	(3,296)
Other noncurrent assets	(1,497)	1,800
Accounts payable	(4,428)	2,283
Accrued employee compensation	(4,398)	(920)
Accrued liabilities	(5,782)	(9,042)
Current income taxes	(14,887)	(136)
Deferred revenue	15,563	11,860
Other current and noncurrent liabilities	(1,695)	(2,494)
Net cash flows from operating activities	<u>17,098</u>	<u>19,450</u>
<b>Cash flows from investing activities:</b>		
Purchases of property and equipment	(2,406)	(1,505)
Purchases of software and distribution rights	(3,926)	(4,852)
Alliance technical enablement expenditures	(3,055)	(3,620)
Proceeds from assets transferred under contractual obligations	—	1,050
Net cash flows from investing activities	<u>(9,387)</u>	<u>(8,927)</u>
<b>Cash flows from financing activities:</b>		
Proceeds from issuance of common stock	537	644
Proceeds from exercises of stock options	2,092	1,397
Excess tax benefit of stock options exercised	110	55
Purchases of common stock	(15,665)	(15,000)
Repurchase of restricted stock for tax withholdings	(255)	(345)
Payments on debt and capital leases	(716)	(888)
Net cash flows from financing activities	<u>(13,897)</u>	<u>(14,137)</u>
Effect of exchange rate fluctuations on cash	(1,974)	5,051
Net increase (decrease) in cash and cash equivalents	(8,160)	1,437
Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period	125,917	112,966
Cash and cash equivalents, end of period	<u>\$ 117,757</u>	<u>\$ 114,403</u>
<b>Supplemental cash flow information</b>		
Income taxes paid, net	\$ 17,637	\$ 5,296
Interest paid	\$ 891	\$ 2,125

The accompanying notes are an integral part of the condensed consolidated financial statements.

**ACI WORLDWIDE, INC. AND SUBSIDIARIES**  
**NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS**  
**(unaudited)**

**1. Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements**

The unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of ACI Worldwide, Inc. (the “Company”) and its wholly-owned subsidiaries. All intercompany balances and transactions have been eliminated. The condensed consolidated financial statements at June 30, 2010, and for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, are unaudited and reflect all adjustments of a normal recurring nature, except as otherwise disclosed herein, which are, in the opinion of management, necessary for a fair presentation, in all material respects, of the financial position and operating results for the interim periods. The condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2009 is derived from the audited financial statements.

The condensed consolidated financial statements contained herein should be read in conjunction with the consolidated financial statements and notes thereto contained in the Company’s annual report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009, filed on February 26, 2010.

The preparation of condensed consolidated financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the condensed consolidated financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenses during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

**Comprehensive Income (Loss)**

The Company’s comprehensive income (loss) for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009 was \$(3.1) million and \$6.7 million, respectively. The Company’s comprehensive loss for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009 was \$(8.9) million and \$(0.7) million, respectively. The two components of comprehensive income (loss) are net income (loss) and foreign currency translation adjustments. The foreign currency translation adjustments for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009 were \$(3.0) million and \$10.2 million, respectively. The foreign currency translation adjustments for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and June 30, 2009 were \$(6.7) million and \$7.0 million, respectively. Accumulated other comprehensive loss included in the Company’s condensed consolidated balance sheet represents the accumulated foreign currency translation adjustment. Since the undistributed earnings of the Company’s foreign subsidiaries are considered to be indefinitely reinvested, the components of accumulated other comprehensive loss have not been tax effected.

**Recently Issued Accounting Standards**

In September 2009, the Financial Accounting Standards Board (“FASB”) issued Accounting Standards Update (“ASU”) 2009-13 and ASU 2009-14, *Revenue Recognition (Topic 605), Multiple Deliverable Revenue Arrangements*, relating to revenue recognition for arrangements with multiple deliverables that do not fall under Accounting Standards Codification (“ASC”) 605-985. This guidance eliminates the requirement, for multiple element arrangements not subject to software accounting guidance, that all undelivered elements must have objective and reliable evidence of fair value before a company can recognize the portion of the overall arrangement fee that is attributable to items that already have been delivered. As a result, the new guidance may allow some companies to recognize revenue on transactions that involve multiple deliverables earlier than under current requirements. This guidance is effective for the Company on January 1, 2011. The Company is currently assessing the impact this guidance will have on its financial statements.

The Emerging Issues Task Force (“EITF”) is currently considering whether the milestone method is an acceptable attribution method for revenue recognition. They proposed EITF 08-9, *Milestone Method of Revenue Recognition*, to further clarify the conditions in which a company can recognize revenue under this method. This proposal has not yet been ratified by the FASB and as such, is not yet GAAP guidance. The Company is currently assessing the impact of this proposed guidance on its financial statements if it is ratified.



## **Reclassification**

During the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company refined the classification of its revenues in order to better conform to industry practice and to better reflect the results of the Company's on-demand business. The Company's presentation of revenues has been revised to separately disclose software hosting fees, which includes all revenues from hosting and on-demand arrangements. Previously, these revenues were primarily included in services revenue with a smaller portion included in maintenance fees and software license fees. As a result of this change in classification of revenues, the Company reclassified \$0.7 million, \$1.4 million and \$9.0 million from software license fees, maintenance fees, and services, respectively, to software hosting fees in the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of operations for the three months ended June 30, 2009. The Company reclassified \$1.0 million, \$3.0 million and \$16.7 million from software license fees, maintenance fees, and services, respectively, to software hosting fees in the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

These reclassifications have been made to prior periods to conform to the current period presentation. These reclassifications did not impact total revenues or net loss for the prior period presented.

## **2. Revenue Recognition, Accrued Receivables and Deferred Revenue**

*Software License Fees.* The Company recognizes software license fee revenue in accordance with ASC 605-985, *Revenue Recognition: Software*. For software license arrangements for which services rendered are not considered essential to the functionality of the software, the Company recognizes revenue upon delivery, provided (i) there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement, (ii) collection of the fee is considered probable and (iii) the fee is fixed or determinable. In most arrangements, vendor-specific objective evidence ("VSOE") of fair value does not exist for the license element; therefore, the Company uses the residual method under ASC 605-985 to determine the amount of revenue to be allocated to the license element. Under ASC 605-985, the fair value of all undelivered elements, such as post contract customer support (maintenance or "PCS") or other products or services, is deferred and subsequently recognized as the products are delivered or the services are performed, with the residual difference between the total arrangement fee and revenues allocated to undelivered elements being allocated to the delivered element.

When a software license arrangement includes services to provide significant modification or customization of software, those services are not separable from the software and are accounted for in accordance with ASC 605-35, *Revenue Recognition: Long Term Construction Type Contracts* generally referred to as contract accounting. Under contract accounting, the Company generally uses the percentage-of-completion method. Under the percentage-of-completion method, the Company records revenue for the software license fee and services over the development and implementation period, with the percentage of completion generally measured by the percentage of labor hours incurred to-date to estimated total labor hours for each contract. For those contracts subject to percentage-of-completion contract accounting, estimates of total revenue and profitability under the contract consider amounts due under extended payment terms. In certain cases, the Company provides its customers with extended payment terms whereby payment is deferred beyond when the services are rendered. In other projects, the Company provides its customer with extended payment terms that are refundable in the event certain milestones are not achieved or the project scope changes. The Company excludes revenues due on extended payment terms from its current percentage-of-completion computation until such time that collection of the fees becomes probable. In the event project profitability is assured and estimable within a range, percentage-of-completion revenue recognition is computed using the lowest level of profitability in the range. If the range of profitability is not estimable but some level of profit is assured, revenues are recognized to the extent direct and indirect costs are incurred until such time that project profitability can be estimated. In the event some level of profitability cannot be reasonably assured, completed-contract accounting is applied. If it is determined that a loss will result from the performance of a contract, the entire amount of the loss is recognized in the period in which it is determined that a loss will result.

For software license arrangements in which a significant portion of the fee is due more than 12 months after delivery or when payment terms are significantly beyond the Company's standard business practice, the software license fee is deemed not to be fixed or determinable. For software license arrangements in which the fee is not considered fixed or determinable, the software license fee is recognized as revenue as payments become due and payable, provided all other conditions for revenue recognition have been met. For software license arrangements in which the Company has concluded that collection of the fees is not probable, revenue is recognized as cash is collected, provided all other conditions for revenue recognition have been met. In making the determination of collectibility, the Company considers the creditworthiness of the customer, economic conditions in the customer's industry and geographic location, and general economic conditions.

ASC 605-985 requires the seller of software that includes PCS to establish VSOE of fair value of the undelivered element of the contract in order to account separately for the PCS revenue. The Company establishes VSOE of the fair value of PCS by reference to stated renewals, expressed in dollar terms, or separate sales with consistent pricing of PCS expressed in percentage terms. In determining whether a stated renewal is not substantive, the Company considers factors such as whether the period of the initial PCS term is relatively long when compared to the term of the software license or whether the PCS renewal rate is significantly below the Company's normal pricing practices. In determining whether PCS pricing is consistent, the Company considers the population of separate sales that are within a reasonably narrow range of the median within the identified market segment over the trailing twelve month period.

## Table of Contents

ASC 605-985 also requires the seller of software that includes services to establish VSOE of fair value of the undelivered element of the contract in order to account separately for the services revenue. The Company establishes VSOE of the fair value of services by reference to separate sales of comparable services with consistent pricing. In determining whether services pricing is consistent, the Company considers the population of separate sales that are within a reasonably narrow range of the median within the identified market segment over the trailing twelve month period.

For those software license arrangements that include customer-specific acceptance provisions, such provisions are generally presumed to be substantive and the Company does not recognize revenue until the earlier of the receipt of a written customer acceptance, objective demonstration that the delivered product meets the customer-specific acceptance criteria or the expiration of the acceptance period. The Company also defers the recognition of revenue on transactions involving less-established or newly released software products that do not have a history of successful implementation. The Company recognizes revenues on such arrangements upon the earlier of receipt of written acceptance or the first production use of the software by the customer. In the absence of customer-specific acceptance provisions, software license arrangements generally grant customers a right of refund or replacement only if the licensed software does not perform in accordance with its published specifications. If the Company's product history supports an assessment by management that the likelihood of non-acceptance is remote, the Company recognizes revenue when all other criteria of revenue recognition are met.

For software license arrangements in which the Company acts as a sales agent for another company's products, revenues are recorded on a net basis. These include arrangements in which the Company does not take title to the products, is not responsible for providing the product or service, earns a fixed commission, or assumes credit risk only to the extent of its commission. For software license arrangements in which the Company acts as a distributor of another company's product, and in certain circumstances, modifies or enhances the product, revenues are recorded on a gross basis. These include arrangements in which the Company takes title to the products and is responsible for providing the product or service.

For software license arrangements in which the Company utilizes a third party distributor or sales agent, the Company recognizes revenue on a sell-in basis when business practices and operating history indicate that there is no risk of returns, rebates, or credits and there are no other risks related to the distributor or sales agents ability to honor payment or distribution commitments. For other arrangements in which any of the above factors indicate that there are risks of returns, rebates, or credits or any other risks related to the distributor or sales agents ability to honor payment or distribution commitments, the Company recognizes revenue on a sell-through basis.

For software license arrangements in which the Company permits the customer to receive unspecified future software products during the software license term, the Company recognizes revenue ratably over the license term, provided all other revenue recognition criteria have been met. For software license arrangements in which the Company grants the customer a right to exchange the original software product for specified future software products with more than minimal differences in features, functionality, and/or price, during the license term, revenue is recognized upon the earlier of delivery of the additional software products or at the time the exchange right lapses. For customers granted a right to exchange the original software product for specified future software products where the Company has determined price, feature, and functionality differences are minimal, the exchange right is accounted for as a like-kind exchange and revenue is recognized upon delivery of the currently licensed product. For software license arrangements in which the customer has the right to change or alternate its use of currently licensed products, revenue is recognized upon delivery of the first copy of all of the licensed products, provided all other revenue recognition criteria have been met. For software license arrangements in which the customer is charged variable software license fees based on usage of the product, the Company recognizes revenue as usage occurs over the term of the licenses, provided all other revenue recognition criteria have been met.

Certain of the Company's software license arrangements include PCS terms that fail to achieve VSOE of fair value due to non-substantive renewal periods, or contain a range of possible non-substantive PCS renewal amounts. For these arrangements, VSOE of fair value of PCS does not exist and revenues for the software license, PCS and services, if applicable, are considered to be one accounting unit and are therefore recognized ratably over the longer of the contractual service term or PCS term once the delivery of both services has commenced. The Company typically classifies revenues associated with these arrangements in accordance with the contractually specified amounts, which approximate fair value assigned to the various elements, including software license fees, maintenance fees and services, if applicable.

## Table of Contents

This allocation methodology has been applied to the following amounts included in revenues in the condensed consolidated statements of operations from arrangements for which VSOE of fair value does not exist for each undelivered element (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Software license fees	\$ 6,617	\$ 3,271	\$ 11,782	\$ 7,097
Maintenance fees	2,015	1,351	3,158	2,727
Services	1,428	1,763	2,808	3,633
Total	<u>\$ 10,060</u>	<u>\$ 6,385</u>	<u>\$ 17,748</u>	<u>\$ 13,457</u>

*Maintenance Fees.* The Company typically enters into multi-year time-based software license arrangements that vary in length but are generally five years. These arrangements include an initial (bundled) PCS term of one year with subsequent renewals for additional years within the initial license period. For arrangements in which the Company looks to substantive renewal rates or separate sales with consistent pricing to evidence VSOE of fair value of PCS and in which the PCS renewal rate and term are substantive, VSOE of fair value of PCS is determined by reference to the stated renewal rate or by reference to the population of separate sales with consistent pricing. For these arrangements, PCS revenues are recognized ratably over the PCS term specified in the contract. In arrangements where VSOE of fair value of PCS cannot be determined (for example, a time-based software license with a duration of one year or less or when the range of possible PCS renewal amounts is not sufficiently narrow or is significantly below the Company's normal pricing practices), the Company recognizes revenue for the entire arrangement ratably over the PCS term.

For those arrangements that meet the criteria to be accounted for under contract accounting, the Company determines whether VSOE of fair value exists for the PCS element. For those situations in which VSOE of fair value exists for the PCS element, PCS is accounted for separately and the balance of the arrangement is accounted for under ASC 605-985. For those arrangements in which VSOE of fair value does not exist for the PCS element and some level of profitability can be reasonably assured, revenue is recognized to the extent direct and indirect costs are incurred until such time as the services are complete. Once services are complete, all remaining revenue is then recognized ratably over the remaining PCS period.

*Services.* The Company provides various professional services to customers, primarily project management, software implementation and software modification services. Revenues from arrangements to provide professional services are generally recognized as the related services are performed.

For those arrangements in which services revenue is deferred and the Company determines that the direct costs of services are recoverable, such costs are deferred and subsequently expensed in proportion to the related services revenue as it is recognized. For those arrangements that are accounted for under contract accounting, the Company accumulates and defers all direct and indirect costs allocable to the arrangement. For those arrangements that are not accounted for under contract accounting, the Company accumulates and defers all direct and incremental costs attributable to the arrangement.

*Hosting.* The Company's hosting-related arrangements contain multiple products and services. As these arrangements generally do not contain a contractual right to take possession of the software at anytime during the hosting period without significant penalty, the Company applies the separation provisions of ASC 605-25, *Revenue Recognition: Multiple Arrangements*. The Company determines whether stand alone value exists for the delivered elements and whether reliable evidence of fair value exists for the undelivered elements of its hosting-related arrangements. For arrangements in which either of these criteria is not met, the elements do not qualify for treatment as separate units of accounting. These arrangements are considered to be one accounting unit and recognized under the proportional performance method, recognizing the slower of the proportional performance of all deliverables in the period. The Company may execute more than one contract or agreement with a single customer. The separate contracts or agreements may be viewed as one multiple-element arrangement or separate agreements for revenue recognition purposes. The Company evaluates whether the agreements were negotiated as part of a single project, whether the products or services are interrelated or interdependent, whether fees in one arrangement are tied to performance in another arrangement, and whether elements in one arrangement are essential to the functionality in another arrangement in order to reach appropriate conclusions regarding whether such arrangements are related or separate. The conclusions reached can impact the timing of revenue recognition related to those arrangements.

## [Table of Contents](#)

*Accrued Receivables.* Accrued receivables represent amounts earned that are to be billed in the near future. Included in accrued receivables are services and software hosting revenues earned in the current period but billed in the following period as well as software license fee revenues that are determined to be fixed and determinable that are to be billed in future periods.

*Deferred Revenue.* Deferred revenue includes amounts currently due and payable from customers, and payments received from customers, for software licenses, maintenance and/or services in advance of recording the related revenue.

### 3. Stock-Based Compensation Plans

#### *Employee Stock Purchase Plan*

Under the Company's 1999 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, as amended (the "ESPP"), a total of 1,500,000 shares of the Company's common stock have been reserved for issuance to eligible employees. Participating employees are permitted to designate up to the lesser of \$25,000 or 10% of their annual base compensation for the purchase of common stock under the ESPP. Purchases under the ESPP are made one calendar month after the end of each fiscal quarter. The price for shares of common stock purchased under the ESPP is 85% of the stock's fair market value on the last business day of the three-month participation period. Shares issued under the ESPP during the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 totaled 30,699 and 37,674, respectively.

#### *Stock-Based Payments Pursuant ASC 718*

During the six months ended June 30, 2010, pursuant to the Company's 2005 Equity and Performance Incentive Plan, as amended ("2005 Incentive Plan") the Company granted stock options.

A summary of stock options issued pursuant to the Company's stock incentive plans is as follows:

	<b>Number of Shares</b>	<b>Weighted- Average Exercise Price (\$)</b>	<b>Weighted- Average Remaining Contractual Term (Years)</b>	<b>Aggregate Intrinsic Value of In-the-Money Options (\$)</b>
Outstanding as of December 31, 2009	3,556,873	\$ 20.72		
Granted	95,125	18.96		
Exercised	(149,468)	13.99		
Forfeited	(96,900)	18.27		
Expired	(5,174)	25.59		
Outstanding as of June 30, 2010	<u>3,400,456</u>	<u>\$ 21.03</u>	<u>5.70</u>	<u>\$ 8,089,110</u>
Exercisable, June 30, 2010	<u>2,170,054</u>	<u>\$ 20.92</u>	<u>4.85</u>	<u>\$ 6,527,655</u>

As of June 30, 2010, the Company expects that 94.0% of the options will vest over the vesting period.

The weighted-average grant date fair value of stock options granted during the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$9.39 and \$8.57, respectively. The Company issued treasury shares for the exercise of stock options during the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. The total intrinsic value of stock options exercised during the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$0.9 million and \$0.6 million, respectively.

## Table of Contents

The fair value of options granted during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was estimated on the date of grant using the Black-Scholes option-pricing model, a pricing model acceptable under ASC 718, *Compensation-Stock Compensation*, with the following weighted-average assumptions:

	<u>Three Months Ended June 30, 2010</u>	<u>Six Months Ended June 30, 2010</u>	<u>Three Months Ended June 30, 2009</u>	<u>Six Months Ended June 30, 2009</u>
Expected life (years)	5.50	5.63	5.94	6.02
Interest rate	2.0%	2.3%	3.3%	3.1%
Volatility	51.8%	51.7%	53.7%	54.4%
Dividend yield	—	—	—	—

Expected volatilities are based on the Company's historical common stock volatility derived from historical stock price data for historical periods commensurate with the options' expected life. The expected life of options granted represents the period of time that options granted are expected to be outstanding. The Company used the simplified method for determining the expected life as permitted under ASC 718. The simplified method was used as the historical data did not provide a reasonable basis upon which to estimate the expected term. This is due to the extended period during which individuals were unable to exercise options while the Company was not current with its filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC"). The risk-free interest rate is based on the implied yield currently available on United States Treasury zero coupon issues with a term equal to the expected term at the date of grant of the options. The expected dividend yield is zero as the Company has historically paid no dividends and does not anticipate dividends to be paid in the future.

During the six months ended June 30, 2010, pursuant to the Company's 2005 Incentive Plan the Company granted LTIP performance shares. These LTIP performance shares are earned, if at all, based upon the achievement, over a specified period that must not be less than one year and is typically a three-year period (the "Performance Period"), of performance goals related to (i) the compound annual growth over the Performance Period in the sales for the Company as determined by the Company ("revenue growth"), and (ii) the cumulative operating income over the Performance Period as determined by the Company ("contribution margin"). In no event will any of the LTIP performance shares become earned if the Company's revenue growth or contribution margin is below a predetermined minimum threshold level at the conclusion of the Performance Period. Assuming achievement of the predetermined revenue growth and contribution margin threshold levels, up to 200% of the LTIP Performance Shares may be earned upon achievement of performance goals equal to or exceeding the maximum target levels for the performance goals over the Performance Period. Management must evaluate, on a quarterly basis, the probability that the threshold performance goals will be achieved, if at all, and the anticipated level of attainment in order to determine the amount of compensation costs to record in the condensed consolidated financial statements. Through June 30, 2010, the Company has accrued compensation costs assuming an attainment level of 100%.

A summary of nonvested LTIP Performance shares as of June 30, 2010 and changes during the period are as follows:

<b>Nonvested LTIP Performance Shares</b>	<b>Number of Shares at Expected Attainment</b>	<b>Weighted- Average Grant Date Fair Value (\$)</b>
Nonvested as of December 31, 2009	216,150	\$ 16.52
Granted	12,120	20.76
Forfeited	(15,920)	16.52
Nonvested as of June 30, 2010	<u>212,350</u>	<u>\$ 16.76</u>

During the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, pursuant to the Company's 2005 Incentive Plan, the Company granted restricted share awards ("RSAs"). The awards granted during the six months ended June 30, 2010 have a requisite service period of three years and vest in increments of 33% on the anniversary dates of the grants. The awards granted during the six months ended June 30, 2009 have a requisite service period of four years and vest in increments of 25% on the anniversary dates of the grants. Under each arrangement, stock is issued without direct cost to the employee. The Company estimates the fair value of the RSAs based upon the market price of the Company's stock at the date of grant. The RSA grants provide for the payment of dividends payable on the Company's common stock, if any, to the participant during the requisite service period (vesting period) and the participant has voting rights for each share of common stock. The Company recognizes compensation expense for RSAs on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period.

## [Table of Contents](#)

A summary of nonvested RSAs as of June 30, 2010 and changes during the period are as follows:

<b>Nonvested Restricted Share Awards</b>	<b>Number of Restricted Share Awards</b>	<b>Weighted-Average Grant Date Fair Value (\$)</b>
Nonvested as of December 31, 2009	314,548	\$ 17.94
Granted	16,500	19.65
Vested	(46,750)	16.17
Forfeited	(27,512)	19.34
Nonvested as of June 30, 2010	<u>256,786</u>	<u>\$ 18.23</u>

During the six months ended June 30, 2010, 46,750 of the RSAs vested. The Company withheld 15,984 of those shares to pay the employees' portion of the minimum payroll withholding taxes.

As of June 30, 2010, there were unrecognized compensation costs of \$5.8 million related to nonvested stock options, \$3.4 million related to the nonvested RSAs and \$2.8 million related to the LTIP performance shares, which the Company expects to recognize over weighted-average periods of 1.9 years, 2.2 years and 2.5 years, respectively.

The Company recorded stock-based compensation expenses in accordance with ASC 718 for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 related to stock options, LTIP Performance Shares, RSAs, and the ESPP of \$1.8 million and \$2.0 million, with corresponding tax benefits of \$0.7 million and \$0.8 million, respectively. The Company recorded stock-based compensation expenses in accordance with ASC 718 for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 related to stock options, LTIP Performance Shares, RSAs, and the ESPP of \$3.6 million and \$4.6 million, with corresponding tax benefits of \$1.3 million and \$1.7 million, respectively. Tax benefits in excess of the option's grant date fair value under ASC 718 are classified as financing cash flows. No stock-based compensation costs were capitalized during the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009. Estimated forfeiture rates, stratified by employee classification, have been included as part of the Company's calculations of compensation costs. The Company recognizes compensation costs for stock option awards which vest with the passage of time with only service conditions on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period.

Cash received from option exercises for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was \$2.1 million and \$1.4 million, respectively. The actual tax benefit realized for the tax deductions from option exercises totaled \$0.3 million and \$0.2 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively.

#### 4. Goodwill

Changes in the carrying amount of goodwill during the six months ended June 30, 2010, consisting of foreign currency translation adjustments, were as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Americas</b>	<b>EMEA</b>	<b>Asia/Pacific</b>	<b>Total</b>
Gross Balance prior to December 31, 2009	\$ 187,241	\$ 46,846	\$ 18,195	\$ 252,282
Total impairment prior to December 31, 2009	(47,432)	—	—	(47,432)
Balance, December 31, 2009	139,809	46,846	18,195	204,850
Foreign currency translation adjustments	(27)	(5,255)	169	(5,113)
Balance, June 30, 2010	<u>\$ 139,782</u>	<u>\$ 41,591</u>	<u>\$ 18,364</u>	<u>\$ 199,737</u>

#### 5. Software and Other Intangible Assets

At June 30, 2010, software net book value totaling \$25.1 million, net of \$43.5 million of accumulated amortization, includes software marketed for external sale of \$15.3 million. The remaining software net book value of \$9.8 million is comprised of various software that has been acquired or developed for internal use.

## [Table of Contents](#)

Quarterly amortization of software marketed for external sale is computed using the greater of the ratio of current revenues to total estimated revenues expected to be derived from the software or the straight-line method over an estimated useful life of three to six years. Software for resale amortization expense recorded in the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 totaled \$1.5 million and \$1.4 million, respectively. Software for resale amortization expense recorded in the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 totaled \$3.0 million and \$2.8 million, respectively. These software amortization expense amounts are reflected in cost of software license fees in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. Amortization of software for internal use of \$1.8 million and \$1.2 million for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, is included in depreciation and amortization in the condensed consolidated statements of operations. Amortization of software for internal use of \$3.6 million and \$2.5 million for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively, is included in depreciation and amortization in the condensed consolidated statements of operations.

The carrying amount and accumulated amortization of the Company's other intangible assets that were subject to amortization at each balance sheet date are as follows (in thousands):

	June 30, 2010			December 31, 2009		
	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Balance	Gross Carrying Amount	Accumulated Amortization	Net Balance
Customer relationships	\$ 35,520	\$ (16,267)	\$ 19,253	\$ 41,636	\$ (19,727)	\$ 21,909
Purchased contracts	10,714	(7,542)	3,172	11,179	(7,030)	4,149
Trademarks and tradenames	1,000	(330)	670	2,526	(1,711)	815
Covenant not to compete	79	(51)	28	74	(41)	33
	<u>\$ 47,313</u>	<u>\$ (24,190)</u>	<u>\$ 23,123</u>	<u>\$ 55,415</u>	<u>\$ (28,509)</u>	<u>\$ 26,906</u>

Other intangible assets amortization expense recorded in both the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 totaled \$1.5 million. Other intangible assets amortization expense recorded in the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 totaled \$3.1 million and \$3.0 million, respectively.

Based on capitalized software and other intangible assets at June 30, 2010, estimated amortization expense for future fiscal years is as follows (in thousands):

Fiscal Year Ending December 31,	Software	Other Intangible Assets
	Amortization	Amortization
Remainder of 2010	\$ 6,075	\$ 3,103
2011	9,530	5,843
2012	6,968	4,770
2013	2,061	4,529
2014	465	2,827
Thereafter	—	2,051
Total	<u>\$ 25,099</u>	<u>\$ 23,123</u>

## 6. Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities

The Company maintains an interest-rate risk-management strategy that uses derivative instruments to mitigate the risk of variability in future cash flows (and related interest expense) associated with currently outstanding and forecasted floating rate bank borrowings due to changes in the benchmark interest rate ("LIBOR").

As of June 30, 2010, the Company had \$75 million of outstanding variable-rate borrowings under a 5-year \$150 million revolving facility that matures on September 29, 2011. The variable-rate benchmark was 1-month LIBOR. The Company has two interest-rate swaps to convert its existing and forecasted variable-rate borrowing needs to fixed rates that terminate on October 4, 2010.

## Table of Contents

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, the Company elected 1-month LIBOR as the variable-rate benchmark for its revolving facility. The Company also amended its interest rate swap on the \$75 million notional amount from 3-month LIBOR to 1-month LIBOR. This basis swap did not impact the maturity date of or the accounting for the interest rate swap.

Although the Company believes that these interest rate swaps will mitigate the risk of variability in future cash flows associated with existing and forecasted variable rate borrowings during the term of the swaps, neither swap qualifies for hedge accounting. Accordingly, the gain (loss) resulting from the change in fair value of the interest rate swaps for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 of less than \$0.1 million and \$(0.3) million, respectively, is reflected as income (expense) in other, net in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations. The loss resulting from the change in fair value of the interest rate swaps for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 of \$0.1 million and \$0.8 million, respectively, is reflected as expense in other income (expense), net in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations.

Changes in the fair value of the interest rate swaps were as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Asset (Liability)</b>
Beginning fair value, December 31, 2009	\$ (5,271)
Net settlement payments	3,049
Loss recognized in earnings	(137)
Ending fair value, June 30, 2010	<u>\$ (2,359)</u>

As of June 30, 2010, the \$2.4 million fair value liability is recorded in other current liabilities on the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet.

Net settlements are measured monthly and paid monthly under the \$75 million notional amount interest rate swap and paid quarterly under the \$50 million notional amount interest rate swap. The net settlements are recorded in other, net in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations. Included in the \$2.4 million fair value at June 30, 2010 is approximately \$0.8 million of net settlement obligations paid by the Company subsequent to June 30, 2010.

### **7. Fair Value of Financial and Non-financial Instruments**

Effective January 1, 2008, the Company adopted the provisions of ASC 820, *Fair Value Measurements and Disclosures*, for financial assets and financial liabilities. Effective January 1, 2009, the Company adopted the provisions of ASC 820 for non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities. ASC 820 defines fair value, establishes a framework for measuring fair value in generally accepted accounting principles and expands disclosures about fair value measurements.

ASC 820 defines fair value as the price that would be received to sell an asset or paid to transfer a liability in an orderly transaction between market participants. ASC 820 establishes a fair value hierarchy for valuation inputs that gives the highest priority to quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities and the lowest priority to unobservable inputs. The fair value hierarchy is as follows:

- Level 1 Inputs — Unadjusted quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities that the reporting entity has the ability to access at the measurement date.
- Level 2 Inputs — Inputs other than quoted prices included in Level 1 that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly. These might include quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices for identical or similar assets or liabilities in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability (such as interest rates, volatilities, prepayment speeds, credit risks, etc.) or inputs that are derived principally from or corroborated by market data by correlation or other means.
- Level 3 Inputs — Unobservable inputs for determining the fair values of assets or liabilities that reflect an entity's own assumptions about the assumptions that market participants would use in pricing the assets or liabilities.



## [Table of Contents](#)

*Derivatives.* Derivatives are reported at fair value utilizing Level 2 Inputs. The Company utilizes valuation models prepared by a third-party with observable market data inputs to estimate fair value of its interest rate swaps. The valuation technique is an income approach and the key input used is the LIBOR swap rate, which is observable at commonly quoted intervals for the full term of the swap.

The following table summarizes financial assets and financial liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis as of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, segregated by the level of the valuation inputs within the fair value hierarchy utilized to measure fair value (in thousands):

<b>Description</b>	<b>Fair Value Measurements at Reporting Date Using</b>		
	<b>Quoted Prices in Active Markets for Identical Assets (Level 1)</b>	<b>Significant Other Observable Inputs (Level 2)</b>	<b>Significant Unobservable Inputs (Level 3)</b>
<b>Derivative liabilities</b>	\$ —	\$ 5,271	\$ —
Total liabilities as of December 31, 2009	\$ —	\$ 5,271	\$ —
<b>Derivative liabilities</b>	\$ —	\$ 2,359	\$ —
Total liabilities as of June 30, 2010	\$ —	\$ 2,359	\$ —

Certain non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities measured at fair value on a recurring basis include reporting units measured at fair value in the first step of a goodwill impairment test. Certain non-financial assets measured at fair value on a non-recurring basis include non-financial assets and non-financial liabilities measured at fair value in the second step of a goodwill impairment test, as well as intangible assets and other non-financial long-lived assets measured at fair value for impairment assessment.

The Company pays interest quarterly on its long-term revolving credit facility based upon the LIBOR rate plus a margin ranging from 0.625% to 1.375%, the margin being dependent upon the Company's total leverage ratio at the end of the quarter. As of June 30, 2010, the fair value of the Company's long-term revolving credit facility approximates its carrying value.

### **8. Corporate Restructuring and Other Reorganization Charges**

Changes in the liability for corporate restructuring charges during the six months ended June 30, 2010 were as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Termination Benefits</b>
Balance, December 31, 2009	\$ 312
Amounts paid during the period	(312)
Balance, June 30, 2010	\$ —

As of December 31, 2009, the liabilities were classified as short-term liabilities in accrued employee compensation in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets. This balance was paid during the six months ended June 30, 2010.

### **9. Common Stock, Treasury Stock and Earnings (Loss) Per Share**

The Company's board of directors has approved a stock repurchase program authorizing the Company, from time to time as market and business conditions warrant, to acquire up to \$210 million of its common stock. Under the program to date, the Company has purchased approximately 7,923,580 shares for approximately \$184 million. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, the Company purchased 841,400 shares of common stock under this repurchase plan for approximately \$15.7 million. The maximum remaining dollar value of shares authorized for purchase under the stock repurchase program was approximately \$26 million as of June 30, 2010.

## [Table of Contents](#)

Earnings (loss) per share is computed in accordance with ASC 260, *Earnings per Share*. Basic earnings (loss) per share is computed on the basis of weighted average outstanding common shares. Diluted earnings (loss) per share is computed on the basis of basic weighted average outstanding common shares adjusted for the dilutive effect of stock options and other outstanding dilutive securities.

The following table reconciles the average share amounts used to compute both basic and diluted loss per share (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Weighted average share outstanding:				
Basic weighted average shares outstanding	33,500	34,129	33,612	34,324
Add: Dilutive effect of stock options, restricted stock awards and other dilutive securities	—	—	—	—
Diluted weighted average shares outstanding	<u>33,500</u>	<u>34,129</u>	<u>33,612</u>	<u>34,324</u>

For the three months and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, 6.7 million and 6.8 million, respectively, options to purchase shares, restricted share awards, common stock warrants and contingently issuable shares were excluded from the diluted loss per share computation as their effect would be anti-dilutive.

### 10. Other Income (Expense), net

Other, net is comprised of the following items (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Foreign currency transaction losses	\$ (1,678)	\$ (4,340)	\$ (1,622)	\$ (5,086)
Gain (loss) on interest rate swap	21	(328)	(137)	(768)
Gain on transfer of assets under contractual obligations	—	993	—	1,049
Other	(25)	60	(137)	70
Total	<u>\$ (1,682)</u>	<u>\$ (3,615)</u>	<u>\$ (1,896)</u>	<u>\$ (4,735)</u>

### 11. Segment Information

The Company's chief operating decision maker, together with other senior management personnel, currently focus their review of consolidated financial information and the allocation of resources based on reporting of operating results, including revenues and operating income, for the geographic regions of the Americas, Europe/Middle East/Africa ("EMEA") and Asia/Pacific. The Company's products are sold and supported through distribution networks covering these three geographic regions, with each distribution network having its own sales force. The Company supplements its distribution networks with independent reseller and/or distributor arrangements. As such, the Company has concluded that its three geographic regions are its operating segments.

The Company's chief operating decision maker reviews financial information presented on a consolidated basis, accompanied by disaggregated information about revenues and operating income (loss) by geographical region.

## [Table of Contents](#)

The Company allocated segment support expenses such as global product delivery, business operations and management based upon percentage of revenue per segment. Corporate costs are allocated as a percentage of the headcount by segment. The following is selected segment financial data for the periods indicated (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
<b>Revenues:</b>				
Americas	\$ 50,185	\$ 46,239	\$ 96,501	\$ 96,162
EMEA	30,466	29,707	62,379	58,722
Asia/Pacific	11,772	11,224	21,286	20,499
	<u>\$ 92,423</u>	<u>\$ 87,170</u>	<u>\$ 180,166</u>	<u>\$ 175,383</u>
<b>Operating income (loss):</b>				
Americas	\$ 6,829	\$ 754	\$ 9,888	\$ 7,621
EMEA	358	(2,595)	217	(8,340)
Asia/Pacific	(2,821)	(1,395)	(6,644)	(4,624)
	<u>\$ 4,366</u>	<u>\$ (3,236)</u>	<u>\$ 3,461</u>	<u>\$ (5,343)</u>
			<b>June 30,</b>	<b>December 31,</b>
			<b>2010</b>	<b>2009</b>
<b>Total assets:</b>				
Americas — United States			\$ 322,950	\$ 345,304
Americas — Other			18,827	15,718
EMEA			159,311	187,356
Asia/Pacific			51,428	41,665
			<u>\$ 552,516</u>	<u>\$ 590,043</u>

No single customer accounted for more than 10% of the Company's consolidated revenues during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 or 2009. No country outside the United States accounted for more than 10% of the Company's consolidated revenues during the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.

## 12. Income Taxes

The effective tax rate for the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was 106.6% and 48.6%, respectively. The effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009 was 398.1% and 27.6%, respectively. The effective tax rate for the three and six months ended June 30, 2009 was positively impacted by a release of an unrecognized tax benefit of \$1.4 million recorded in the three months ended June 30, 2009. The effective tax rate in all four periods is negatively impacted by the Company's inability to recognize income tax benefits during the period on losses sustained in certain tax jurisdictions where the future utilization of the losses are uncertain, and by the recognition of tax expense associated with the transfer of certain intellectual property rights from U.S. to non-U.S. entities.

The amount of unrecognized tax benefits for uncertain tax positions was \$10.8 million as of June 30, 2010 and \$10.9 million as of December 31, 2009, excluding related liabilities for interest and penalties of \$2.1 million as of June 30, 2010 and \$2.0 million as of December 31, 2009.

The Company believes it is reasonably possible that the total amount of unrecognized tax benefits will decrease within the next 12 months by approximately \$6.5 million, due to the settlement of various audits and the expiration of statutes of limitation.

## 13. Commitments and Contingencies

### *Legal Proceedings*

From time to time, the Company is involved in various litigation matters arising in the ordinary course of its business. The Company is not currently a party to any legal proceedings, the adverse outcome of which, individually or in the aggregate, the Company believes would be likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company's financial condition or results of operations.

#### 14. International Business Machines Corporation Alliance

During the three months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company incurred \$2.5 million and \$3.1 million of costs, respectively, related to fulfillment of the technical enablement milestones under the International Business Machines Corporation (“IBM”) Master Alliance Agreement (the “Alliance”). During the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, the Company incurred \$5.4 million and \$5.7 million of costs, respectively, related to fulfillment of the technical enablement milestones. The reimbursement of these costs was recorded as a reduction of the Alliance agreement liability and a reduction in capitalizable costs under ASC 985-20 in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets and a reduction of operating expenses in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of operations for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009.

Changes in the Alliance agreement liability were as follows (in thousands):

	<b>Alliance Agreement Liability</b>
Balance, December 31, 2009	\$ 32,487
Costs related to fulfillment of technical enablement milestones	(5,365)
Balance, June 30, 2010	<u>\$ 27,122</u>

Of the \$27.1 million Alliance agreement liability, \$5.3 million is current and \$21.8 million is non-current in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of June 30, 2010.

Of the \$32.5 million Alliance agreement liability, \$10.5 million is current and \$22.0 million is non-current in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheet as of December 31, 2009.

#### 15. Assets of Businesses Transferred Under Contractual Arrangements

On September 29, 2006, the Company entered into an agreement whereby certain assets and liabilities related to the Company’s MessagingDirect business and WorkPoint product line were legally conveyed to an unrelated party for a total selling price of \$3.0 million to be paid in annual installments through 2010. The note receivable was not recorded due to uncertainty of collection. During the three months ended June 30, 2009, the Company sold its right to further payments on the note receivable to a third-party for \$1.0 million, which was recorded as a pretax gain.

## **Item 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

### **Forward-Looking Statements**

This report contains forward-looking statements based on current expectations that involve a number of risks and uncertainties. Generally, forward-looking statements do not relate strictly to historical or current facts and may include words or phrases such as "believes," "will," "expects," "anticipates," "intends," and words and phrases of similar impact. The forward-looking statements are made pursuant to safe harbor provisions of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, as amended.

Forward-looking statements in this report include, but are not limited to, statements regarding future operations, business strategy, business environment and key trends, as well as statements related to expected financial and other benefits from our organizational restructuring activities. Many of these factors will be important in determining our actual future results. Any or all of the forward-looking statements in this report may turn out to be incorrect. They may be based on inaccurate assumptions or may not account for known or unknown risks and uncertainties. Consequently, no forward-looking statement can be guaranteed. Actual future results may vary materially from those expressed or implied in any forward-looking statements, and our business, financial condition and results of operations could be materially and adversely affected. In addition, we disclaim any obligation to update any forward-looking statements after the date of this report, except as required by law.

All of the foregoing forward-looking statements are expressly qualified by the risk factors discussed in our filings with the Securities and Exchange Commission. Such factors include, but are not limited to, risks related to the global financial crisis, restrictions and other financial covenants in our credit facility, volatility and disruption of the capital and credit markets, our restructuring efforts, the restatement of our financial statements, consolidation in the financial services industry, changes in the financial services industry, the accuracy of backlog estimates, the cyclical nature of our revenue and earnings, exposure to unknown tax liabilities, volatility in our stock price, risks from operating internationally, including fluctuations in currency exchange rates, increased competition, our offshore software development activities, the performance of our strategic product, BASE24-eps, the maturity of certain products, our strategy to migrate customers to our next generation products, ratable or deferred recognition of certain revenue associated with customer migrations and the maturity of certain of our products, demand for our products, failure to obtain renewals of customer contracts or to obtain such renewals on favorable terms, delay or cancellation of customer projects or inaccurate project completion estimates, business interruptions or failure of our information technology and communication systems, our alliance with International Business Machines Corporation ("IBM"), our outsourcing agreement with IBM, the complexity of our products and services and the risk that they may contain hidden defects or be subjected to security breaches or viruses, compliance of our products with applicable governmental regulations and industry standards, our compliance with privacy regulations, the protection of our intellectual property in intellectual property litigation, future acquisitions and investments and litigation. The cautionary statements in this report expressly qualify all of our forward-looking statements.

The following discussion should be read together with our financial statements and related notes contained in this report and with the financial statements and related notes and Management's Discussion & Analysis in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009, filed February 26, 2010. Results for the three and six months ended June 30, 2010, are not necessarily indicative of results that may be attained in the future.

### **Overview**

We develop, market, install and support a broad line of software products and services primarily focused on facilitating electronic payments. In addition to our own products, we distribute, or act as a sales agent for, software developed by third parties. Our products are sold and supported through distribution networks covering three geographic regions — the Americas, Europe/Middle East/Africa ("EMEA") and Asia/Pacific. Each distribution network has its own sales force and supplements its sales force with independent reseller and/or distributor networks. Our products and services are used principally by financial institutions, retailers and electronic payment processors, both in domestic and international markets. Accordingly, our business and operating results are influenced by trends such as information technology spending levels, the growth rate of the electronic payments industry, mandated regulatory changes, and changes in the number and type of customers in the financial services industry. Our products are marketed under the ACI Worldwide brand.

We derive a majority of our revenues from non-domestic operations and believe our greatest opportunities for growth exist largely in international markets. Refining our global infrastructure is a critical component of driving our growth. We have launched a globalization strategy which includes elements intended to streamline our supply chain and provide low-cost centers of expertise to support a growing international customer base. In fiscal 2006, we established a new subsidiary in Ireland to serve as the focal point for certain international product development and commercialization efforts. This subsidiary oversees remote software development operations in Romania and elsewhere, as well as manages certain of our intellectual property rights. During 2009 and 2010, we have continued our efforts to try and take a direct selling and support strategy in certain countries where historically we have used third-party distributors to represent our products, in an effort to develop closer relationships with our customers and develop a stronger overall position in those countries.

In September 2009, we announced the ACI Agile Payments Solution strategy, the vision for our payments products. The vision recognizes the long term direction to migrate payments processing from the current discrete structures to a common service-based delivery mechanism. While we are evolving our service offerings into ACI Agile Payments Solution reference architecture, financial organizations can benefit from the enterprise capabilities of our existing product suite and start moving towards an agile payments environment.

In March 2008, we announced to customers the timelines for maturing many of our retail payment engines products. These products were developed or acquired by ACI over several years and include BASE24, TRANS24-eft, ON/2, OpeN/2 and ASx. Our strategy is to help customers migrate to our next-generation BASE24-eps solution as we discontinue standard support for previous products. This will allow customers to take advantage of our newest technology and allow us to more efficiently focus our research and development investment. Towards the end of 2008, we also announced to customers the timelines for maturing many of our back office service products. Some of these back office services are now provided by ACI Issuer and ACI Acquirer, two products which were obtained through the Euronet Essentis Limited acquisition, as well as the ANDiS product accessed through our distribution agreement with Bell Identification B.V.

As a result of the announced timelines for maturing certain of our retail payment engines products, we may experience a temporary decline in GAAP revenue recognition. As customers elect to renew, extend the term of, or add capacity or functionality to certain of our maturing retail payment engines products, we expect a higher proportion of any up-front payments to be recognized ratably over time rather than as a lump sum. As a result, we expect a temporary decline in our initial license fee (“ILF”) revenue and a corresponding increase in our monthly license fee (“MLF”) revenue during this period. We also expect a corresponding increase to current deferred revenue.

Additionally, as customers undertake migration projects to our next-generation BASE24-eps solution, we would expect a higher proportion of our revenue to be deferred pending completion of the migration project. Depending on specific circumstances, revenues subject to deferral may include license, capacity, maintenance, and services fees. For those revenues subject to deferral, we would expect a corresponding increase to deferred revenue.

Key trends that currently impact our strategies and operations include:

- **Global Financial Markets Uncertainty.** The continuing uncertainty in the global financial markets has negatively impacted general business conditions. It is possible that a weakening economy could adversely affect our customers, their purchasing plans, or even their solvency, but we cannot predict whether or to what extent this will occur. We have diversified counterparties and customers, but we continue to monitor our counterparty and customer risks closely. While the effects of the economic conditions in the future are not predictable, we believe our global presence, the breadth and diversity of our service offerings and our enhanced expense management capabilities position us well in a slower economic climate. Market analysts, such as Boston Consulting Group, indicate that banks now recognize the importance of payments to their business, so providing services for that aspect of the business is of less risk than for other aspects of their business.
- **Availability of Credit.** There have been significant disruptions in the capital and credit markets during the past two years and many lenders and financial institutions have reduced or ceased to provide funding to borrowers. The availability of credit, confidence in the entire financial sector, and volatility in financial markets have been adversely affected. These disruptions are likely to have some impact on all institutions in the U.S. banking and financial industries, including our lenders and the lenders of our customers. While the Federal Reserve Bank and other Central Banks have provided liquidity into the banking system there is still uncertainty over the strength of short-term borrowing markets and other capital markets. Reduced liquidity in the markets could increase financing costs or reduce the availability of funds to finance our existing operations as well as those of our customers. We are not currently dependent upon short-term funding, and the limited availability of credit in the market has not affected our revolving credit facility or our liquidity or materially impacted our funding costs.

- **Increasing electronic payment transaction volumes.** Electronic payment volumes continue to increase around the world, taking market share from traditional cash and check transactions. A Boston Consulting Group 2009 report predicts that payments globally will grow at 8% per annum between 2008 and 2016, with varying growth rates based on the type of payment and part of the world. We leverage the growth in transaction volumes through the licensing of new systems to customers whose older systems cannot handle increased volume and through the licensing of capacity upgrades to existing customers.
- **Increasing competition.** The electronic payments market is highly competitive and subject to rapid change. Our competition comes from in-house information technology departments, third-party electronic payment processors and third-party software companies located both within and outside of the United States. Many of these companies are significantly larger than us and have significantly greater financial, technical and marketing resources. As electronic payment transaction volumes increase, third-party processors tend to provide competition to our solutions, particularly among customers that do not seek to differentiate their electronic payment offerings. As consolidation in the financial services industry continues, we anticipate that competition for those customers will intensify.
- **Adoption of open systems technology.** In an effort to leverage lower-cost computing technologies and current technology staffing and resources, many financial institutions, retailers and electronic payment processors are seeking to transition their systems from proprietary technologies to open technologies. Our continued investment in open systems technologies is, in part, designed to address this demand.
- **Electronic payments fraud and compliance.** As electronic payment transaction volumes increase, criminal elements continue to find ways to commit a growing volume of fraudulent transactions using a wide range of techniques. Financial institutions, retailers and electronic payment processors continue to seek ways to leverage new technologies to identify and prevent fraudulent transactions. Due to concerns with international terrorism and money laundering, financial institutions in particular are being faced with increasing scrutiny and regulatory pressures. We continue to see opportunity to offer our fraud detection solutions to help customers manage the growing levels of electronic payment fraud and compliance activity.
- **Adoption of smartcard technology.** In many markets, card issuers are being required to issue new cards with embedded chip technology. Chip-based cards are more secure, harder to copy and offer the opportunity for multiple functions on one card (e.g. debit, credit, electronic purse, identification, health records, etc.). The EMV standard for issuing and processing debit and credit card transactions has emerged as the global standard, with many regions throughout the world working on EMV rollouts. The primary benefit of EMV deployment is a reduction in electronic payment fraud, with the additional benefit that the core infrastructure necessary for multi-function chip cards is being put in place (e.g., chip card readers in ATM's and POS devices) allowing the deployment of other technologies like contactless. We are working with many customers around the world to facilitate EMV deployments, leveraging several of our solutions.
- **Single Euro Payments Area ("SEPA").** The SEPA, primarily focused on the European Economic Community and the United Kingdom, is designed to facilitate lower costs for cross-border payments and reduce timeframes for settling electronic payment transactions. Our retail and wholesale banking solutions facilitate key functions that help financial institutions address these mandated regulations.
- **Financial institution consolidation.** Consolidation continues on a national and international basis, as financial institutions seek to add market share and increase overall efficiency. Such consolidations have increased, and may continue to increase, in their number, size and market impact as a result of the global economic crisis and the financial crisis affecting the banking and financial industries. There are several potential negative effects of increased consolidation activity. Continuing consolidation of financial institutions may result in a smaller number of existing and potential customers for our products and services. Consolidation of two of our customers could result in reduced revenues if the combined entity were to negotiate greater volume discounts or discontinue use of certain of our products. Additionally, if a non-customer and a customer combine and the combined entity in turn decides to forego future use of our products, our revenue would decline. Conversely, we could benefit from the combination of a non-customer and a customer when the combined entity continues use of our products and, as a larger combined entity, increases its demand for our products and services. We tend to focus on larger financial institutions as customers, often resulting in our solutions being the solutions that survive in the consolidated entity.

- **Electronic payments convergence.** As electronic payment volumes grow and pressures to lower overall cost per transaction increase, financial institutions are seeking methods to consolidate their payment processing across the enterprise. We believe that the strategy of using service-oriented-architectures to allow for re-use of common electronic payment functions such as authentication, authorization, routing and settlement will become more common. Using these techniques, financial institutions will be able to reduce costs, increase overall service levels, enable one-to-one marketing in multiple bank channels, leverage volumes for improved pricing and liquidity, and manage enterprise risk. Our product development strategy is, in part, focused on this trend, by creating integrated payment functions that can be re-used by multiple bank channels, across both the consumer and wholesale bank. While this trend presents an opportunity for us, it may also expand the competition from third-party electronic payment technology and service providers specializing in other forms of electronic payments. Many of these providers are larger than us and have significantly greater financial, technical and marketing resources.

Several other factors related to our business may have a significant impact on our operating results from year to year. For example, the accounting rules governing the timing of revenue recognition in the software industry are complex and it can be difficult to estimate when we will recognize revenue generated by a given transaction. Factors such as maturity of the software product licensed, payment terms, creditworthiness of the customer, and timing of delivery or acceptance of our products often cause revenue related to sales generated in one period to be deferred and recognized in later periods. For arrangements in which services revenue is deferred, related direct and incremental costs may also be deferred. Additionally, while the majority of our contracts are denominated in the United States dollar, a substantial portion of our sales are made, and some of our expenses are incurred, in the local currency of countries other than the United States. Fluctuations in currency exchange rates in a given period may result in the recognition of gains or losses for that period. We have two interest rate swaps with a commercial bank whereby we pay a fixed rate of 5.375% and 4.90% and receive a floating rate indexed to the 3-month LIBOR from the counterparty on a notional amount of \$75 million and forecasted borrowings of \$50 million, respectively. Both of these swaps terminate on October 4, 2010.

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, we elected 1-month LIBOR as the variable-rate benchmark for our revolving facility and changed the interest rate to 5.195%. We also amended our interest rate swap on the \$75 million notional amount from 3-month LIBOR to 1-month LIBOR. This basis swap did not impact the maturity date of or the accounting for the interest rate swap. Fluctuations in interest rates in a given period may result in the recognition of gains or losses for that period.

We continue to seek ways to grow through both organic sources and acquisitions. We continually look for potential acquisitions designed to improve our solutions' breadth or provide access to new markets. As part of our strategy, we seek acquisition candidates that are strategic, capable of being integrated into our operating environment, and financially accretive to our financial performance.

### **Backlog**

Included in backlog estimates are all software license fees, maintenance fees and services specified in executed contracts, as well as revenues from assumed contract renewals to the extent that we believe recognition of the related revenue will occur within the corresponding backlog period. We have historically included assumed renewals in backlog estimates based upon automatic renewal provisions in the executed contract and our historic experience with customer renewal rates.

Our 60-month backlog estimate represents expected revenues from existing customers using the following key assumptions:

- Maintenance fees are assumed to exist for the duration of the license term for those contracts in which the committed maintenance term is less than the committed license term.
- License and facilities management arrangements are assumed to renew at the end of their committed term at a rate consistent with our historical experiences.
- Non-recurring license arrangements are assumed to renew as recurring revenue streams.
- Foreign currency exchange rates are assumed to remain constant over the 60-month backlog period for those contracts stated in currencies other than the U.S. dollar.
- Our pricing policies and practices are assumed to remain constant over the 60-month backlog period.



## [Table of Contents](#)

In computing our 60-month backlog estimate, the following items are specifically not taken into account:

- Anticipated increases in transaction volumes in customer systems.
- Optional annual uplifts or inflationary increases in recurring fees.
- Services engagements, other than facilities management, are not assumed to renew over the 60-month backlog period.
- The potential impact of merger activity within our markets and/or customers is not reflected in the computation of our 60-month backlog estimate.

The following table sets forth our 60-month backlog estimate, by geographic region, as of June 30, 2010, March 31, 2010, and December 31, 2009 (in millions). Dollar amounts reflect foreign currency exchange rates as of each period end.

	<u>June 30, 2010</u>	<u>March 31, 2010</u>	<u>December 31, 2009</u>
Americas	\$ 864	\$ 851	\$ 850
EMEA	475	480	510
Asia/Pacific	176	176	157
Total	<u>\$ 1,515</u>	<u>\$ 1,507</u>	<u>\$ 1,517</u>

Included in our 60-month backlog estimates are amounts expected to be recognized during the initial license term of customer contracts (“Committed Backlog”) and amounts expected to be recognized from assumed renewals of existing customer contracts (“Renewal Backlog”). Amounts expected to be recognized from assumed contract renewals are based on our historical renewal experience. The Committed Backlog and Renewal Backlog estimates as of June 30, 2010 are \$755 million and \$760 million, respectively.

We also estimate 12-month backlog, segregated between monthly recurring and non-recurring revenues, using a methodology consistent with the 60-month backlog estimate. Monthly recurring revenues include all monthly license fees, maintenance fees and processing services fees. Non-recurring revenues include other software license fees and services. Amounts included in our 12-month backlog estimate assume renewal of one-time license fees on a monthly fee basis if such renewal is expected to occur in the next 12 months. The following table sets forth our 12-month backlog estimate, by geographic region, as of June 30, 2010, March 31, 2010 and December 31, 2009 (in millions). Dollar amounts reflect foreign currency exchange rates as of each period end.

	<u>June 30, 2010</u>		
	<u>Monthly Recurring</u>	<u>Non- Recurring</u>	<u>Total</u>
Americas	\$ 163	\$ 45	\$ 208
EMEA	88	35	123
Asia/Pacific	33	10	43
Total	<u>\$ 284</u>	<u>\$ 90</u>	<u>\$ 374</u>

	<u>March 31, 2010</u>			<u>December 31, 2009</u>		
	<u>Monthly Recurring</u>	<u>Non- Recurring</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Monthly Recurring</u>	<u>Non- Recurring</u>	<u>Total</u>
Americas	\$ 151	\$ 43	\$ 194	\$ 149	\$ 40	\$ 189
EMEA	84	38	122	89	37	126
Asia/Pacific	32	11	43	29	11	40
Total	<u>\$ 267</u>	<u>\$ 92</u>	<u>\$ 359</u>	<u>\$ 267</u>	<u>\$ 88</u>	<u>\$ 355</u>

Estimates of future financial results are inherently unreliable. Our backlog estimates require substantial judgment and are based on a number of assumptions as described above. These assumptions may turn out to be inaccurate or wrong, including for reasons outside of management's control. For example, our customers may attempt to renegotiate or terminate their contracts for a number of reasons, including mergers, changes in their financial condition, or general changes in economic conditions in the customer's industry or geographic location, or we may experience delays in the development or delivery of products or services specified in customer contracts which may cause the actual renewal rates and amounts to differ from historical experiences. Changes in foreign currency exchange rates may also impact the amount of revenue actually recognized in future periods. Accordingly, there can be no assurance that amounts included in backlog estimates will actually generate the specified revenues or that the actual revenues will be generated within the corresponding 12-month or 60-month period. Additionally, because backlog estimates are operating metrics, the estimates are not subject to the same level of internal review or controls as a GAAP financial measure.

## **RESULTS OF OPERATIONS**

### ***Reclassifications***

During the six months ended June 30, 2010, we refined the classification of our revenues in order to better conform to industry practice and to better reflect the results of our on-demand business. Our presentation of revenues has been revised to separately disclose software hosting fees, which includes all revenues from hosting and on-demand arrangements. Previously, these revenues were primarily included in services revenue with a smaller portion included in maintenance fees and software license fees. As a result of this change in classification of revenues, we reclassified \$0.7 million, \$1.4 million and \$9.0 million from software license fees, maintenance fees, and services, respectively, to software hosting fees in the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of operations for the three months ended June 30, 2009. We reclassified \$1.0 million, \$3.0 million and \$16.7 million from software license fees, maintenance fees, and services, respectively, to software hosting fees in the accompanying condensed consolidated statement of operations for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

These reclassifications have been made to prior periods to conform to the current period presentation. These reclassifications did not impact total revenues or net loss for the prior period presented.

## Table of Contents

The following table presents the condensed consolidated statements of operations as well as the percentage relationship to total revenues of items included in our condensed consolidated statements of operations (amounts in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,				Six Months Ended June 30,			
	2010		2009		2010		2009	
	Amount	% of Total Revenue	Amount	% of Total Revenue	Amount	% of Total Revenue	Amount	% of Total Revenue
<b>Revenues:</b>								
Initial license fees (ILFs)	\$ 11,113	12.0%	\$ 9,646	11.1%	\$ 20,856	11.6%	\$ 23,111	13.2%
Monthly license fees (MLFs)	20,286	21.9%	16,787	19.3%	39,860	22.1%	34,142	19.5%
Software license fees	31,399	34.0%	26,433	30.3%	60,716	33.7%	57,253	32.6%
Maintenance fees	34,207	37.0%	31,928	36.6%	67,629	37.5%	61,854	35.3%
Services	17,187	18.6%	17,691	20.3%	31,805	17.7%	35,609	20.3%
Software hosting fees	9,630	10.4%	11,118	12.8%	20,016	11.1%	20,667	11.8%
Total revenues	<u>92,423</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>87,170</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>180,166</u>	<u>100.0%</u>	<u>175,383</u>	<u>100.0%</u>
<b>Expenses:</b>								
Cost of software licenses fees	3,107	3.4%	3,833	4.4%	6,181	3.4%	7,000	4.0%
Cost of maintenance, services, and hosting fees	29,303	31.7%	27,955	32.1%	57,195	31.7%	55,177	31.5%
Research and development	18,798	20.3%	19,932	22.9%	37,194	20.6%	38,905	22.2%
Selling and marketing	15,989	17.3%	15,511	17.8%	32,834	18.2%	30,619	17.5%
General and administrative	15,735	17.0%	18,865	21.6%	33,197	18.4%	40,369	23.0%
Depreciation and amortization	5,125	5.5%	4,310	4.9%	10,104	5.6%	8,656	4.9%
Total expenses	<u>88,057</u>	<u>95.3%</u>	<u>90,406</u>	<u>103.7%</u>	<u>176,705</u>	<u>98.1%</u>	<u>180,726</u>	<u>103.0%</u>
Operating income (loss)	4,366	4.7%	(3,236)	-3.7%	3,461	1.9%	(5,343)	-3.0%
<b>Other income (expense):</b>								
Interest income	126	0.1%	446	0.5%	250	0.1%	747	0.4%
Interest expense	(541)	-0.6%	(526)	-0.6%	(1,064)	-0.6%	(1,295)	-0.7%
Other, net	(1,682)	-1.8%	(3,615)	-4.1%	(1,896)	-1.1%	(4,735)	-2.7%
Total other income (expense)	<u>(2,097)</u>	<u>-2.3%</u>	<u>(3,695)</u>	<u>-4.2%</u>	<u>(2,710)</u>	<u>-1.5%</u>	<u>(5,283)</u>	<u>-3.0%</u>
Income (loss) before income taxes	2,269	2.5%	(6,931)	-8.0%	751	0.4%	(10,626)	-6.1%
Income tax expense (benefit)	2,419	2.6%	(3,369)	-3.9%	2,990	1.7%	(2,932)	-1.7%
Net income (loss)	<u>\$ (150)</u>	<u>-0.2%</u>	<u>\$ (3,562)</u>	<u>-4.1%</u>	<u>\$ (2,239)</u>	<u>-1.2%</u>	<u>\$ (7,694)</u>	<u>-4.4%</u>

### Three-Month Period Ended June 30, 2010 Compared to Three-Month Period Ended June 30, 2009

#### Revenues

Total revenues for the three months ended June 30, 2010 increased \$5.3 million, or 6.0%, as compared to the same period in 2009. Total revenues increased primarily as a result of a \$5.0 million, or 18.8%, increase in software license fee revenues and a \$2.3 million, or 7.1%, increase in maintenance fee revenue offset by a \$0.5 million, or 2.8%, decrease in services revenues and a \$1.5 million, or 13.4%, decrease in hosting revenues.

The increase in total revenues was primarily driven by an increase in all reportable operating segments with the Americas, EMEA, and Asia/Pacific increasing by \$4.0 million, \$0.8 million, and \$0.5 million respectively.

#### Software License Fee Revenues

Customers purchase the right to license ACI software for the term of their agreement which term is generally 60 months. Within

these agreements are specified capacity limits typically based on transaction volumes. ACI employs measurement tools that monitor the number of transactions processed by customers and if contractually specified limits are exceeded, additional fees are charged for the overage. Capacity overages may occur at varying times throughout the term of the agreement depending on the product, the size of the customer, and the significance of customer transaction volume growth. Depending on specific circumstances, multiple overages or no overages may occur during the term of the agreement.

As a result of the maturation of certain retail payment engine products, a higher percentage of our up-front license fees are being recognized ratably over an extended period. As a result of this shift, our monthly license fee (“MLF”) revenues have increased more substantially than our initial license fee (“ILF”) revenues during the three months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009. This shift of software license fees between ILF and MLF revenues is expected to continue in future periods.

*Initial License Fee (ILF) Revenue*

ILF revenue includes license and capacity revenues that do not recur on a monthly or quarterly basis. Included in ILF revenues are license and capacity fees that are recognizable at the inception of the agreement and license and capacity fees that are recognizable at interim points during the term of the agreement, including those that are recognizable annually due to negotiated customer payment terms. ILF revenues during the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009, increased by \$1.5 million, or 15.2%. The Americas and EMEA reportable operating segments increased by \$4.0 million and \$1.6 million, respectively, offset by a decrease in the Asia/Pacific reportable operating segment of \$4.1 million. The increase in ILF revenues in the Americas and EMEA reportable operating segments is largely attributable to capacity related increases and term renewals with existing customers during the three months ended June 30, 2010 that were not experienced during the three months ended June 30, 2009. The decline in the Asia/Pacific reportable operating segment is primarily due to significant customer projects completed and recognized in the three months ended June 30, 2009 that did not repeat during the three months ended June 30, 2010. Included in the above are capacity related revenue increases of \$0.7 million and \$2.1 million in the Americas and EMEA reportable operating segments, respectively, offset by a decline of \$1.2 million in the Asia/Pacific reportable operating segment within the three months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009.

*Monthly License Fee (MLF) Revenue*

MLF revenues are license and capacity revenues that are paid in monthly or quarterly increments due to negotiated customer payment terms as well as license and capacity fees that are paid up-front but recognized as revenue ratably over an extended period. MLF revenues increased \$3.5 million, or 20.8%, during the three months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to the same period in 2009 with the Americas and EMEA reportable operating segments increasing by \$0.9 million and \$3.2 million, respectively, offset by a decline of \$0.6 in the Asia/Pacific reportable operating segment. Within this increase is a \$3.4 million increase in the amount of paid up-front revenue recognized ratably and a \$0.1 million increase in license and capacity fees that are both invoiced and recognized monthly or quarterly.

*Maintenance Fee Revenue*

Maintenance fee revenue includes standard and enhanced maintenance or any post contract support fees received from customers for the provision of product support services. Maintenance fee revenues increased \$2.3 million, or 7.1%, during the three months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to the same period in 2009 with the EMEA and Asia/Pacific reportable operating segments increasing by \$0.9 million and \$1.4 million, respectively. The increase in maintenance fee revenues can be attributed to the increased install base as a result of customer go-live events and increased adoption of enhanced maintenance programs as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009.

*Services Revenue*

Services revenue includes fees earned through implementation services, professional services and facilities management services. Implementation services include product installations, product upgrades, retrofit custom software modifications (“CSM’s”), and product education. Professional services include business consultancy, technical consultancy, on site support services, CSM’s, product education, and testing services. These services include new customer implementations as well as existing customer migrations to new products or new releases of existing products. During the period in which services revenue is being deferred, direct and incremental costs related to the performance of these services are also being deferred.

Services revenue declined \$0.5 million, or 2.8%, for the three months ended June 30, 2010, primarily as a result of a decrease in implementation and professional services revenue in the Asia/Pacific reportable operating segment offset by increases in the Americas and EMEA reportable operating segments. The overall decrease in services revenue is primarily due to an increase in the number and size of customer projects for which revenue is deferred as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009.

*Software Hosting Fee Revenue*

Software hosting fee revenue includes fees earned through hosting and on-demand arrangements. All revenues from hosting and on-demand arrangements that do not qualify for treatment as separate units of accounting, which may include set-up fees, implementation or customization services, and product support services, are included in software hosting fee revenue.

## Table of Contents

Software hosting fee revenue decreased \$1.5 million, or 13.4%, for the three months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the three months ended June 30, 2009. The decline is primarily in the Americas operating segment and can be attributed to existing customers adding new functionality or services during which time revenue is temporarily deferred until the new functionality or service is made available.

### **Expenses**

Total operating expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2010 decreased \$2.3 million, or 2.6%, as compared to the same period of 2009. Total expenses decreased primarily as a result of a \$3.1 million, or 16.6%, decrease in general and administrative expenses, a \$1.1 million, or 5.7%, decrease in research and development, and a \$0.7 million, or 18.9%, decrease in cost of software license fees, partially offset by a \$1.3 million, or 4.8%, increase in cost of maintenance, services and hosting fees, a \$0.8 million, or 18.9%, increase in depreciation and amortization and a \$0.5 million, or 3.1%, increase in selling and marketing.

#### *Cost of Software License Fees*

The cost of software licenses for our products sold includes third party software royalties as well as the amortization of purchased or developed technology for resale. In general, the cost of software licenses for our products is minimal because we internally develop most of the software components, the cost of which is reflected in research and development expense as it is incurred.

Cost of software licenses fees decreased \$0.7 million, or 18.9%, in the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009. Third-party software royalty expense decreased \$0.8 million as a result of a decrease in license revenue associated with certain products that include a corresponding royalty expense. Purchased or developed technology for resale amortization increased \$0.1 million in the three months ended June 30, 2010.

#### *Cost of Maintenance, Services, and Hosting fees*

Cost of maintenance, services, and hosting fees includes costs to provide hosting services and both the costs of maintaining our software products at customer sites as well as the service costs required to deliver, install and support software at customer sites. Maintenance costs include the efforts associated with providing the customer with upgrades, 24-hour helpdesk, post go-live (remote) support and production-type support for software that was previously installed at a customer location. Service costs include human resource costs and other incidental costs such as travel and training required for both pre go-live and post go-live support. Such efforts include project management, delivery, product customization and implementation, installation support, consulting, configuration, and on-site support.

Cost of maintenance, services, and hosting fees for the three months ended June 30, 2010 increased \$1.3 million, or 4.8%, compared to the same period in 2009 primarily due to a \$0.6 million decrease in net deferred expenses associated with project implementations, a \$0.4 million increase in our outsourced information technology services fees, as well as a \$0.3 million increase in third-party maintenance and services related fees.

#### *Research and Development*

Research and development (“R&D”) expenses are primarily human resource costs related to the creation of new products prior to establishing technological feasibility and improvements made to existing products. R&D also includes product management and continued R&D efforts on existing products to address issues, if any, related to regulatory requirements and processing mandates as well as compatibility with new operating system releases and generations of hardware.

R&D expense for the three months ended June 30, 2010 decreased \$1.1 million, or 5.7%, as compared to the same period in 2009. This decrease is largely due to lower third-party contractor costs of \$1.3 million and lower personnel and related costs of \$0.8 million, both partially offset by \$0.4 million of higher costs resulting from our outsourced information technology services and a \$0.6 million decrease in deferred expenses associated with various product development efforts.

#### *Selling and Marketing*

Selling and marketing includes both the costs related to selling our products to current and prospective customers as well as the costs related to promoting the Company, its products and the research efforts required to measure customers’ future needs and satisfaction levels. Selling costs are primarily the human resource and travel costs related to the effort expended to license our products and services to current and potential clients within defined territories and/or industries as well as the management of the overall relationship with customer accounts. Selling costs also include the costs associated with assisting distributors in their efforts to sell our products and services in their respective local markets. Marketing costs include costs needed to promote the Company and its products as well as perform or acquire market research to help us better understand what products our customers are looking for in the future. Marketing costs also include the costs associated with measuring customers’ opinions toward the Company, our products and personnel.

## Table of Contents

Selling and marketing expense for the three months ended June 30, 2010 increased \$0.5 million, or 3.1%, compared to the same period in 2009 due to \$0.3 million higher advertising, promotional and other professional expenses and \$0.2 million higher costs resulting from our outsourced information technology services.

### *General and Administrative*

General and administrative expenses are primarily human resource costs including executive salaries and benefits, personnel administration costs, and the costs of corporate support functions such as legal, administrative, human resources and finance and accounting.

General and administrative expense for the three months ended June 30, 2010 decreased \$3.1 million, or 16.6%, compared to the same period in 2009. General and administrative expenses for the three months ended June 30, 2010 decreased \$1.9 million as a result of lower professional fees and \$1.8 million as a result of lower personnel and related costs partially offset by \$0.6 million of higher costs related to our outsourced technology services.

### *Depreciation and Amortization*

Depreciation and amortization expense includes charges for depreciation of property and equipment and amortization of acquired intangibles excluding amortization of purchased or developed technology for resale. Amortization of acquired intangibles include customer relationships, trade names, non-competes and other intangible assets.

Depreciation and amortization expense for the three months ended June 30, 2010 increased \$0.8 million, or 18.9%, compared to the same period in 2009 as a result of higher capital expenditures.

### **Other Income and Expense**

Other income and expense includes interest income and expense, foreign currency gains and losses, and other non-operating items. Fluctuating currency rates impacted the three months ended June 30, 2010 by \$1.7 million in net foreign currency losses, as compared with \$4.3 million in net losses during the same period in 2009. We realized a gain of less \$0.1 million from the change in fair value of interest rate swaps during the three months ended June 30, 2010 compared to a \$0.3 million loss in the same period of 2009. Interest income for the three months ended June 30, 2010 decreased \$0.3 million, or 71.7%, as compared to the corresponding period of 2009. This decrease in interest income was due \$0.2 million of interest earned on an amended tax return in 2009 that did not recur in 2010. Interest expense was flat, for the three months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the corresponding period of 2009. A \$1.0 million gain was realized on the contractual transfer of assets for the three months ended June 30, 2009.

### **Income Taxes**

The effective tax rate for the three months ended June 30, 2010 is 106.6%. The effective tax rate for the three months ended June 30, 2009 was 48.6%. The effective tax rate for the three months ended June 30, 2009 was positively impacted by a release of an unrecognized tax benefit of \$1.4 million, or 20.8%, recorded in the three months ended June 30, 2009. The effective tax rate in both periods are negatively impacted by the Company's inability to recognize income tax benefits during the period as a result of losses sustained in certain tax jurisdictions where the future utilization of the losses are uncertain, and by the recognition of tax expense associated with the transfer of certain intellectual property rights from U.S. to non-U.S. entities.

### **Six-Month Period Ended June 30, 2010 Compared to Six-Month Period Ended June 30, 2009**

#### **Revenues**

Total revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2010 increased \$4.8 million, or 2.7%, as compared to the same period in 2009. Total revenues increased primarily as a result of a \$3.5 million, or 6.1%, increase in software license fee revenues and a \$5.8 million, or 9.3%, increase in maintenance fee revenue offset by a \$3.8 million, or 10.7%, decline in services revenues and a \$0.7 million, or 3.1%, decline in hosting revenues.

## [Table of Contents](#)

All reportable operating segments experienced an increase in total revenues for the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009 with the Americas, EMEA, and Asia/Pacific increasing by \$0.3 million, \$3.7 million, and \$0.8 million respectively.

### *Software License Fee Revenues*

As a result of the maturation of certain retail payment engine products, a higher percentage of our up-front license fees is being recognized ratably over an extended period. As a result of this shift, our ILF revenues have declined while our MLF revenues have increased during the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009. This shift of software license fees between ILF and MLF revenues is expected to continue in future periods.

### *Initial License Fee (ILF) Revenue*

ILF revenues during the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009, decreased by \$2.3 million, or 9.8%. The Americas and EMEA reportable operating segments increased by \$0.4 million and \$5.2 million, respectively, offset by a decline in the Asia/Pacific reportable operating segment of \$7.9 million. The increase in ILF revenues in the EMEA reportable operating segment is largely attributable to capacity related increases and term renewals with existing customers during the six months ended June 30, 2010 that were not experienced during the six months ended June 30, 2009. The decline in the Asia-Pacific reportable operating segment is largely attributable to a large BASE24-eps migration project completed and recognized in the six months ended June 30, 2009 with no comparable project completed in the six months ended June 30, 2010. Included in the above are capacity related revenue declines of \$1.0 million and \$2.4 million in the Americas and Asia/Pacific reportable operating segments, respectively, offset by an increase of \$2.7 million in the EMEA reportable operating segment within the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to the same period in 2009.

### *Monthly License Fee (MLF) Revenue*

MLF revenues increased \$5.7 million, or 16.7%, during the six months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to the same period in 2009 with the Americas and EMEA reportable operating segments increasing by \$1.0 million and \$5.6 million, respectively, offset by a decline of \$0.9 million in the Asia/Pacific reportable operating segment. Within this increase is a \$4.8 million increase in the amount of paid up-front revenue recognized ratably by customers and a \$0.9 million increase in license and capacity fees that are both invoiced and recognized monthly or quarterly primarily in the EMEA reportable operating segment.

### *Maintenance Fee Revenue*

Maintenance fee revenues increased \$5.8 million, or 9.3%, during the six months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to the same period in 2009 with the EMEA and Asia/Pacific reportable operating segments increasing by \$3.9 million and \$1.9 million, respectively. The increase in maintenance fee revenues can be attributed to the increased install base as a result of customer go-live events and increased adoption of enhanced maintenance programs as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009.

### *Services Revenue*

Services revenue declined \$3.8 million, or 10.7%, for the six months ended June 30, 2010, primarily as a result of a decrease in implementation and professional services revenue in the Americas and EMEA reportable operating segments. The decrease in services revenue is primarily due to an increase in the number and size of customer projects for which revenue is deferred as compared to the six months ended June 30, 2009.

### *Software Hosting Fee Revenue*

Software hosting fee revenue decreased \$0.7 million, or 3.1%, for the six months ended June 30, 2010 primarily in the Americas operating segment. The decline can be attributed to existing customers adding new functionality or services during which time revenue is temporarily deferred until the new functionality or service is made available.

## **Expenses**

Total operating expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2010 decreased \$4.0 million, or 2.2%, as compared to the same period of 2009. Total expenses decreased primarily as a result of a \$7.2 million, or 17.8%, decrease in general and administrative expenses, a \$1.7 million, or 4.4%, decrease in research and development, and a \$0.8 million, or 11.7%, decrease in cost of software license fees, partially offset by a \$2.2 million, or 7.2%, increase in selling and marketing, a \$2.0 million, or 3.7%, increase in cost of maintenance, services, and hosting fees and a \$1.4 million, or 16.7%, increase in depreciation and amortization.

### *Cost of Software License Fees*

Cost of software licenses fees decreased \$0.8 million, or 11.7%, in the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to the same period in 2009. Third-party software royalty expense decreased \$1.0 million as a result of a decrease in license revenue associated with certain products that include a corresponding royalty expense. Purchased or developed technology for resale amortization increased \$0.2 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010.



*Cost of Maintenance, Services, and Hosting fees*

Cost of maintenance, services, and hosting fees for the six months ended June 30, 2010 increased \$2.0 million, or 3.7%, compared to the same period in 2009 primarily due to a \$1.0 million increase in costs resulting from our outsourced information technology services, as well as a \$0.7 million increase in third-party maintenance and services related fees and a \$0.3 million decrease in net deferred expenses associated with project implementations.

*Research and Development*

R&D expense for the six months ended June 30, 2010 decreased \$1.7 million, or 4.4%, as compared to the same period in 2009. This decrease is largely due to lower third-party contractor costs of \$2.5 million partially offset by \$0.4 million higher costs resulting from our outsourced information technology services and a \$0.4 million decrease in deferred expenses associated with various product development efforts.

*Selling and Marketing*

Selling and marketing expense for the six months ended June 30, 2010 increased \$2.2 million, or 7.2%, compared to the same period in 2009 due to a \$1.2 million increase in personnel and related costs, a \$0.5 million increase in advertising and promotional expenses, and \$0.5 million higher costs resulting from our outsourced information technology services.

*General and Administrative*

General and administrative expense for the six months ended June 30, 2010 decreased \$7.2 million, or 17.8%, compared to the same period in 2009. General and administrative expenses for the six months ended June 30, 2009, with no corresponding expenses in the six months ended June 30, 2010, included \$1.0 million of professional fees associated with the restatement of our 2008 quarterly financial statements, \$1.1 million of consulting fees related to business reinvestment initiatives and \$0.2 million of transition costs related to our outsourced technology services. In addition, general and administrative expenses decreased \$3.5 million as a result of lower personnel and related costs and \$2.4 million as a result of lower professional fees. These amounts were partially offset by a \$1.0 million increase in costs resulting from our outsourced information technology services.

*Depreciation and Amortization*

Depreciation and amortization expense for the six months ended June 30, 2010 increased \$1.4 million, or 16.7%, compared to the same period in 2009 as a result of higher capital expenditures.

**Other Income and Expense**

Other income and expense includes interest income and expense, foreign currency gains and losses, and other non-operating items. Fluctuating currency rates impacted the six months ended June 30, 2010 by \$1.6 million in net foreign currency losses, as compared with \$5.1 million in net losses during the same period in 2009. A \$0.1 million loss on change in fair value of interest rate swaps was incurred during the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to a \$0.8 million loss in the same period of 2009. Interest income for the six months ended June 30, 2010 decreased \$0.5 million, or 66.5%, as compared to the corresponding period of 2009. This decrease in interest income was due \$0.2 million of interest earned on an amended tax return in 2009 that did not recur in 2010 as well as interest from the contractual transfer of assets in 2009 that did not occur during 2010. Interest expense decreased \$0.2 million, or 17.8%, for the six months ended June 30, 2010, as compared to the corresponding period of 2009. A \$1.0 million gain was realized on the contractual transfer of assets for the six months ended June 30, 2009.

**Income Taxes**

The effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2010 was 398.1%. The effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2009 was 27.6%. The effective tax rate for the six months ended June 30, 2009 was positively impacted by a release of an unrecognized tax benefit of \$1.4 million, or 13.6%. The effective tax rate in both periods are negatively impacted by the Company's inability to recognize income tax benefits during the period as a result of losses sustained in certain tax jurisdictions where the future utilization of the losses are uncertain, and by the recognition of tax expense associated with the transfer of certain intellectual property rights from U.S. to non-U.S. entities.

## Segment Results

The following table presents revenues and operating income (loss) for the periods indicated by geographic region (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended June 30,		Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009	2010	2009
Revenues:				
Americas	\$ 50,185	\$ 46,239	\$ 96,501	\$ 96,162
EMEA	30,466	29,707	62,379	58,722
Asia/Pacific	11,772	11,224	21,286	20,499
	<u>\$ 92,423</u>	<u>\$ 87,170</u>	<u>\$ 180,166</u>	<u>\$ 175,383</u>
Operating income (loss):				
Americas	\$ 6,829	\$ 754	\$ 9,888	\$ 7,621
EMEA	358	(2,595)	217	(8,340)
Asia/Pacific	(2,821)	(1,395)	(6,644)	(4,624)
	<u>\$ 4,366</u>	<u>\$ (3,236)</u>	<u>\$ 3,461</u>	<u>\$ (5,343)</u>

## Liquidity and Capital Resources

As of June 30, 2010, our principal sources of liquidity consisted of \$117.8 million in cash and cash equivalents and \$75 million of unused borrowings under our revolving credit facility. We had bank borrowings of \$75.0 million outstanding under our revolving credit facility as of June 30, 2010. The amount of unused borrowings actually available under the revolving credit facility varies in accordance with the terms of the agreement. We believe that the amount currently available along with our current cash balance provides sufficient liquidity for at least the next twelve month period. The revolving credit facility contains certain affirmative and negative covenants, including limitations on the incurrence of indebtedness, asset dispositions, acquisitions, investments, dividends and other restricted payments, liens and transactions with affiliates. The revolving credit facility also contains financial covenants relating to maximum permitted leverage ratio and the minimum interest coverage ratio. As of June 30, 2010, we were in compliance with all credit facility covenants.

We are not currently dependent upon short-term funding, and the limited availability of credit in the market has not affected our revolving credit facility, our liquidity or materially impacted our funding costs. However, due to the existing uncertainty in the capital and credit markets and the impact of the current economic crisis on our operating results and financial conditions, the amount of available unused borrowings under our existing credit facility may be insufficient to meet our needs and/or our access to capital outside of our existing credit facility may not be available on terms acceptable to us or at all. Additionally, if one or more of the financial institutions in our syndicate were to default on its obligation to fund its commitment, the portion of the committed facility provided by such defaulting financial institution would not be available to us. There can be no assurance that alternative financing on acceptable terms would be available to replace any defaulted commitments.

Our board of directors has approved a stock repurchase program authorizing the Company, from time to time as market and business conditions warrant, to acquire up to \$210 million of its common stock. Under the program to date, the Company has purchased approximately 7,923,580 shares for approximately \$184 million. The maximum remaining dollar value of shares authorized for purchase under the stock repurchase program was approximately \$26 million as of June 30, 2010.

We may also decide to use cash to acquire new products and services or enhance existing products and services through acquisitions of other companies, product lines, technologies and personnel, or through investments in other companies.

## [Table of Contents](#)

### *Cash Flows*

The following table sets forth summary cash flow data for the periods indicated. Please refer to this summary as you read our discussion of the sources and uses of cash in each period.

	Six Months Ended June 30,	
	2010	2009
<b>Net cash provided by (used by):</b>		
Operating activities	\$ 17,098	\$ 19,450
Investing activities	(9,387)	(8,927)
Financing activities	(13,897)	(14,137)

Net cash flows provided by operating activities for the six months ended June 30, 2010 amounted to \$17.1 million as compared to \$19.5 million during the same period in 2009. The comparative period decrease in net cash flows from operating activities of \$2.4 million was principally the result of an increase of \$6.7 million in cash payments to vendors partially offset by a decrease in net losses of \$5.5 million during the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to same period in 2009.

Net cash flows used by investing activities totaled \$9.4 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to \$8.9 million used by investing activities during the same period in 2009. During the six months ended June 30, 2010, we used cash of \$6.3 million to purchase software, property and equipment and \$3.1 million for costs related to fulfillment of the technical enablement milestones under the Alliance. During the six months ended June 30, 2009, we used cash of \$6.4 million to purchase software, property and equipment and \$3.6 million for costs related to fulfillment of the technical enablement milestones under the Alliance. In addition, during the six months ended June 30, 2009, we received \$1.1 million of proceeds from contractual obligations relating to the sale of intellectual property.

Net cash flows used by financing activities totaled \$13.9 million in the six months ended June 30, 2010 as compared to net cash flows used by financing activities of \$14.1 million during the same period in 2009. During six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, we used \$15.7 million and \$15.0 million, respectively, to repurchase shares of our common stock under our stock repurchase plan. We made payments to third-party financial institutions, primarily related to debt and capital leases, totaling \$0.7 million and \$0.9 million during the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, respectively. During the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, we received proceeds of \$2.2 million and \$1.5 million, including corresponding excess tax benefits, from the exercises of stock options and \$0.5 million and \$0.6 million for the issuance of common stock under our 1999 Employee Stock Purchase Plan, as amended (the "ESPP"), respectively.

We also realized a \$2.0 million decrease in cash during the six months ended June 30, 2010 compared to a \$5.1 million increase during the same period of 2009 related to foreign exchange rate variances.

We believe that our existing sources of liquidity, including cash on hand and cash provided by operating activities, will satisfy our projected liquidity requirements, which primarily consists of working capital requirements, for the foreseeable future.

### **Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments**

There have been no material changes to the contractual obligations and commercial commitments disclosed in Item 7 of our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009.

We are unable to reasonably estimate the ultimate amount or timing of settlement of our reserves for income taxes under Financial Accounting Standards Board ("FASB") Accounting Standards Codification ("ASC") 740, *Income Taxes*. The liability for unrecognized tax benefits at June 30, 2010 is \$10.8 million.

### **Critical Accounting Estimates**

The preparation of the condensed consolidated financial statements requires that we make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses, and related disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities. We base our estimates on historical experience and other assumptions that we believe to be proper and reasonable under the circumstances. We continually evaluate the appropriateness of estimates and assumptions used in the preparation of our condensed consolidated financial statements. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

The following key accounting policies are impacted significantly by judgments, assumptions and estimates used in the preparation of the condensed consolidated financial statements. See Note 1, "Summary of Significant Accounting Policies" in the Notes to Consolidated Financial Statements in our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2009, filed on February 26, 2010, for a further discussion of revenue recognition and other significant accounting policies.

#### *Revenue Recognition*

For software license arrangements for which services rendered are not considered essential to the functionality of the software, we recognize revenue upon delivery, provided (1) there is persuasive evidence of an arrangement, (2) collection of the fee is considered probable, and (3) the fee is fixed or determinable. In most arrangements, because vendor-specific objective evidence of fair value does not exist for the license element, we use the residual method to determine the amount of revenue to be allocated to the license element. Under the residual method, the fair value of all undelivered elements, such as post contract customer support or other products or services, is deferred and subsequently recognized as the products are delivered or the services are performed, with the residual difference between the total arrangement fee and revenues allocated to undelivered elements being allocated to the delivered element. For software license arrangements in which we have concluded that collectibility issues may exist, revenue is recognized as cash is collected, provided all other conditions for revenue recognition have been met. In making the determination of collectibility, we consider the creditworthiness of the customer, economic conditions in the customer's industry and geographic location, and general economic conditions.

Our sales focus continues to shift from our more-established products to more complex arrangements involving multiple products inclusive of our BASE24-eps product and less-established (collectively referred to as "newer") products. As a result of this shift to newer products and more complex, multiple product arrangements, absent other factors, we initially experience an increase in deferred revenue and a corresponding decrease in current period revenue due to differences in the timing of revenue recognition for the respective products. Revenues from newer products are typically recognized upon acceptance or first production use by the customer whereas revenues from mature products, such as BASE24, are generally recognized upon delivery of the product, provided all other conditions for revenue recognition have been met. For those arrangements where revenues are being deferred and we determine that related direct and incremental costs are recoverable, such costs are deferred and subsequently expensed as the revenues are recognized. Newer products are continually evaluated by our management and product development personnel to determine when any such product meets specific internally defined product maturity criteria that would support its classification as a mature product. Evaluation criteria used in making this determination include successful demonstration of product features and functionality; standardization of sale, installation, and support functions; and customer acceptance at multiple production site installations, among others. A change in product classification (from newer to mature) would allow us to recognize revenues from new sales of the product upon delivery of the product rather than upon acceptance or first production use by the customer, resulting in earlier recognition of revenues from sales of that product, as well as related costs, provided all other revenue recognition criteria have been met. BASE24-eps was reclassified as a mature product as of October 1, 2006.

When a software license arrangement includes services to provide significant modification or customization of software, those services are not considered to be separable from the software. Accounting for such services delivered over time is referred to as contract accounting. Under contract accounting, we generally use the percentage-of-completion method. Under the percentage-of-completion method, we record revenue for the software license fee and services over the development and implementation period, with the percentage of completion generally measured by the percentage of labor hours incurred to-date to estimated total labor hours for each contract. Estimated total labor hours for each contract are based on the project scope, complexity, skill level requirements, and similarities with other projects of similar size and scope. For those contracts subject to contract accounting, estimates of total revenue and profitability under the contract consider amounts due under extended payment terms. For arrangements where we believe it is reasonably assured that no loss will be incurred under the arrangement and fair value for maintenance services does not exist, we use a zero margin approach of applying percentage-of-completion accounting until software customization services are completed. We exclude revenues due on extended payment terms from our current percentage-of-completion computation until such time that collection of the fees becomes probable.

Certain of our arrangements are through unrelated distributors or sales agents. In these situations, we evaluate additional factors such as the financial capabilities, the distribution capabilities, and risks of rebates, returns, or credits in determining whether revenue should be recognized upon sale to the distributor or sales agent ("sell-in") or upon distribution to an end-customer ("sell-through"). Judgment is required in evaluating the facts and circumstances of our relationship with the distributor or sales agent as well as our operating history and practices that can impact the timing of revenue recognition related to these arrangements.

We may execute more than one contract or agreement with a single customer. The separate contracts or agreements may be viewed as one multiple-element arrangement or separate arrangements for revenue recognition purposes. Judgment is required when evaluating the facts and circumstances related to each situation in order to reach appropriate conclusions regarding whether such arrangements are related or separate. Those conclusions can impact the timing of revenue recognition related to those arrangements.

#### *Allowance for Doubtful Accounts*

We maintain a general allowance for doubtful accounts based on our historical experience, along with additional customer-specific allowances. We regularly monitor credit risk exposures in our accounts receivable. In estimating the necessary level of our allowance for doubtful accounts, management considers the aging of our accounts receivable, the creditworthiness of our customers, economic conditions within the customer's industry, and general economic conditions, among other factors. Should any of these factors change, the estimates made by management would also change, which in turn would impact the level of our future provision for doubtful accounts. Specifically, if the financial condition of our customers were to deteriorate, affecting their ability to make payments, additional customer-specific provisions for doubtful accounts may be required. Also, should deterioration occur in general economic conditions, or within a particular industry or region in which we have a number of customers, additional provisions for doubtful accounts may be recorded to reserve for potential future losses. Any such additional provisions would reduce operating income in the periods in which they were recorded.

#### *Intangible Assets and Goodwill*

Our business acquisitions typically result in the recording of intangible assets, and the recorded values of those assets may become impaired in the future. As of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009 our intangible assets, excluding goodwill, net of accumulated amortization, were \$23.1 and \$26.9 million, respectively. The determination of the value of such intangible assets requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the condensed consolidated financial statements. We assess potential impairments to intangible assets when there is evidence that events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of an asset may not be recovered. Judgments regarding the existence of impairment indicators and future cash flows related to intangible assets are based on operational performance of our businesses, market conditions and other factors. Although there are inherent uncertainties in this assessment process, the estimates and assumptions used, including estimates of future cash flows, volumes, market penetration and discount rates, are consistent with our internal planning. If these estimates or their related assumptions change in the future, we may be required to record an impairment charge on all or a portion of our intangible assets. Furthermore, we cannot predict the occurrence of future impairment-triggering events nor the impact such events might have on our reported asset values. Future events could cause us to conclude that impairment indicators exist and that intangible assets associated with acquired businesses is impaired. Any resulting impairment loss could have an adverse impact on our results of operations.

Other intangible assets are amortized using the straight-line method over periods ranging from 18 months to 12 years.

As of June 30, 2010 and December 31, 2009, our goodwill was \$199.7 and \$204.9 million, respectively. In accordance with ASC 350, *Intangibles — Goodwill and Other*, we assess goodwill for impairment annually during the fourth quarter of our fiscal year using October 1 balances or when there is evidence that events or changes in circumstances indicate that the carrying amount of the asset may not be recovered. We evaluate goodwill at the reporting unit level and have identified our reportable segments, Americas, EMEA, and Asia/Pacific, as our reporting units. Recoverability of goodwill is measured using a discounted cash flow model incorporating discount rates commensurate with the risks involved. Use of a discounted cash flow model is common practice in impairment testing in the absence of available transactional market evidence to determine the fair value.

The key assumptions used in the discounted cash flow valuation model include discount rates, growth rates, cash flow projections and terminal value rates. Discount rates, growth rates and cash flow projections are the most sensitive and susceptible to change as they require significant management judgment. Discount rates are determined by using a weighted average cost of capital ("WACC"). The WACC considers market and industry data as well as Company-specific risk factors. Operational management, considering industry and Company-specific historical and projected data, develops growth rates and cash flow projections for each reporting unit. Terminal value rate determination follows common methodology of capturing the present value of perpetual cash flow estimates beyond the last projected period assuming a constant WACC and low long-term growth rates. If the calculated fair value is less than the current carrying value, impairment of the reporting unit may exist. If the recoverability test indicates potential impairment, we calculate an implied fair value of goodwill for the reporting unit. The implied fair value of goodwill is determined in a manner similar to how goodwill is calculated in a business combination. If the implied fair value of goodwill exceeds the carrying value of goodwill assigned to the reporting unit, there is no impairment. If the carrying value of goodwill assigned to a reporting unit exceeds the implied fair value of the goodwill, an impairment charge is recorded to write down the carrying value. The calculated fair value was in excess of the current carrying value for all reporting units.

### *Stock-Based Compensation*

Under the provisions of ASC 718, *Stock Based Compensation*, stock-based compensation cost for stock option awards is estimated at the grant date based on the award's fair value as calculated by the Black-Scholes option-pricing model and is recognized as expense ratably over the requisite service period. We recognize stock-based compensation costs for only those shares that are expected to vest. The impact of forfeitures that may occur prior to vesting is estimated and considered in the amount of expense recognized. Forfeiture estimates are revised in subsequent periods when actual forfeitures differ from those estimates. The Black-Scholes option-pricing model requires various highly judgmental assumptions including volatility and expected option life. If any of the assumptions used in the Black-Scholes model change significantly, stock-based compensation expense may differ materially for future awards from that recorded for existing awards.

We also have stock options outstanding that vest upon attainment by the Company of certain market conditions. In order to determine the grant date fair value of these stock options that vest based on the achievement of certain market conditions, a Monte Carlo simulation model is used to estimate (i) the probability that the performance goal will be achieved and (ii) the length of time required to attain the target market price.

Pursuant to our 2005 Equity and Performance Incentive Plan (the "2005 Incentive Plan"), we granted long term incentive program performance share awards ("LTIP Performance Shares") during the six months ended June 30, 2010 and the year ended December 31, 2009. These awards are earned based on the achievement over a specified period of performance goals related to certain performance metrics. In order to determine compensation expense to be recorded for these LTIP Performance Shares, each quarter management evaluates the probability that the target performance goals will be achieved, if at all, and the anticipated level of attainment.

During the six months ended June 30, 2010 and 2009, pursuant to our 2005 Incentive Plan, we granted restricted share awards ("RSAs"). The awards granted during the six months ended June 30, 2010 have a requisite service period of three years and vest in increments of 33% on the anniversary dates of the grants. The awards granted during the six months ended June 30, 2009 have a requisite service period of four years and vest in increments of 25% on the anniversary dates of the grants. Under each arrangement, stock is issued without direct cost to the employee. We estimate the fair value of the RSAs based upon the market price of our stock at the date of grant. The RSA grants provide for the payment of dividends payable on our common stock, if any, to the participant during the requisite service period (vesting period) and the participant has voting rights for each share of common stock. We recognize compensation expense for RSAs on a straight-line basis over the requisite service period.

The assumptions utilized in the Black-Scholes option-pricing model as well as the description of the plans the stock-based awards are granted under are described in further detail in Note 3, "Stock-Based Compensation Plans", in the Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements.

### *Accounting for Income Taxes*

Accounting for income taxes requires significant judgments in the development of estimates used in income tax calculations. Such judgments include, but are not limited to, the likelihood we would realize the benefits of net operating loss carryforwards and/or foreign tax credit carryforwards, the adequacy of valuation allowances, and the rates used to measure transactions with foreign subsidiaries. As part of the process of preparing our condensed consolidated financial statements, we are required to estimate our income taxes in each of the jurisdictions in which the Company operates. The judgments and estimates used are subject to challenge by domestic and foreign taxing authorities.

We account for income taxes in accordance with ASC 740 and have adopted the provisions of ASC 740. As part of our process of determining current tax liability, we exercise judgment in evaluating positions we have taken in our tax returns. We periodically assess our tax exposures and establish, or adjust, estimated unrecognized benefits for probable assessments by taxing authorities, including the IRS, and various foreign and state authorities. Such unrecognized tax benefits represent the estimated provision for income taxes expected to ultimately be paid. It is possible that either domestic or foreign taxing authorities could challenge those judgments or positions and draw conclusions that would cause us to incur tax liabilities in excess of, or realize benefits less than, those currently recorded. In addition, changes in the geographical mix or estimated amount of annual pretax income could impact our overall effective tax rate.

To the extent recovery of deferred tax assets is not likely, we record a valuation allowance to reduce our deferred tax assets to the amount that is more likely than not to be realized. Although we have considered future taxable income along with prudent and feasible tax planning strategies in assessing the need for a valuation allowance, if we should determine that we would not be able to realize all or part of our deferred tax assets in the future, an adjustment to deferred tax assets would be charged to income in the period any such determination was made. Likewise, in the event we are able to realize our deferred tax assets in the future in excess of the net recorded amount, an adjustment to deferred tax assets would increase income in the period any such determination was made.

### **Recently Issued Accounting Standards**

In September 2009, the FASB issued ASU 2009-13 and ASU 2009-14, *Revenue Recognition (Topic 605), Multiple Deliverable Revenue Arrangements* relating to revenue recognition for arrangements with multiple deliverables that do not fall under ASC 605-985. This guidance eliminates the requirement, for multiple element arrangements not subject to software accounting guidance that all undelivered elements must have objective and reliable evidence of fair value before a company can recognize the portion of the overall arrangement fee that is attributable to items that already have been delivered. As a result, the new guidance may allow some companies to recognize revenue on transactions that involve multiple deliverables earlier than under current requirements. This guidance is effective for us on January 1, 2011. We are currently assessing the impact this guidance will have on our financial statements.

The Emerging Issues Task Force (“EITF”) is currently considering whether the milestone method is an acceptable attribution method for revenue recognition. They proposed EITF 08-9, *Milestone Method of Revenue Recognition*, to further clarify the conditions in which a company can recognize revenue under this method. This proposal has not yet been ratified by the FASB and as such, is not yet GAAP guidance. We are currently assessing the impact of this proposed guidance on our financial statements if it is ratified.

### **Item 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK**

Excluding the impact of changes in interest rates, there have been no material changes to our market risk for the six months ended June 30, 2010. We conduct business in all parts of the world and are thereby exposed to market risks related to fluctuations in foreign currency exchange rates. The U.S. dollar is the single largest currency in which our revenue contracts are denominated. Thus, any decline in the value of local foreign currencies against the U.S. dollar results in our products and services being more expensive to a potential foreign customer, and in those instances where our goods and services have already been sold, may result in the receivables being more difficult to collect. Additionally, any decline in the value of the U.S. dollar in jurisdictions where the revenue contracts are denominated in U.S. dollars and operating expenses are incurred in local currency will have an unfavorable impact to operating margins. We at times enter into revenue contracts that are denominated in the country’s local currency, principally in Australia, Canada, the United Kingdom and other European countries. This practice serves as a natural hedge to finance the local currency expenses incurred in those locations. We have not entered into any foreign currency hedging transactions. We do not purchase or hold any derivative financial instruments for the purpose of speculation or arbitrage.

The primary objective of our cash investment policy is to preserve principal without significantly increasing risk. Based on our cash investments and interest rates on these investments at June 30, 2010, and if we maintained this level of similar cash investments for a period of one year, a hypothetical 10 percent increase or decrease in effective interest rates would increase or decrease interest income by less than \$0.1 million annually.

We have two interest rate swaps with a commercial bank whereby we pay a fixed rate of 5.375% and 4.90% and receive a floating rate indexed to the 1-month LIBOR from the counterparty on a notional amount of \$75 million and \$50 million, respectively. Both of these swaps terminate on October 4, 2010.

During the six months ended June 30, 2009, we elected 1-month LIBOR as the variable-rate benchmark for its revolving facility. The Company also amended its interest rate swap on the \$75 million notional amount from 3-month LIBOR to 1-month LIBOR. This basis swap did not impact the maturity date of the interest rate swap or the accounting. As of June 30, 2010, the fair value liability of the interest rate swaps was approximately \$2.4 million, all of which was included in other current liabilities on the condensed consolidated balance sheet. The potential additional loss in fair value liability of the interest rate swaps resulting from a hypothetical 10 percent adverse change in interest rates was less than \$0.1 million at June 30, 2010. Because our interest rate swaps do not qualify for hedge accounting, changes in the fair value of the interest rate swaps are recognized in the condensed consolidated statements of operations, along with the related income tax effects.

**Item 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES***Disclosure Controls and Procedures*

Our management, under the supervision of and with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer, performed an evaluation of the effectiveness of our disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, as of the end of the period covered by this report, June 30, 2010. Based on that evaluation, the Company's Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer have concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures are effective as of June 30, 2010.

*Changes in Internal Control over Financial Reporting*

Our management, under the supervision of and with the participation of the Chief Executive Officer and Chief Financial Officer evaluated any change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the quarter covered by this report and determined that there was no change in the Company's internal control over financial reporting during the quarter covered by this report that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

**PART II — OTHER INFORMATION****Item 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

From time to time, we are involved in various litigation matters arising in the ordinary course of our business. We are not currently a party to any legal proceedings, the adverse outcome of which, individually or in the aggregate, we believe would be likely to have a material adverse effect on our financial condition or results of operations.

**Item 1A. RISK FACTORS**

There have been no material changes to the risk factors disclosed in Item 1A of our Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2009. Additional risks and uncertainties, including risks and uncertainties not presently known to us, or that we currently deem immaterial, could also have an adverse effect on our business, financial condition and/or results of operations.

**Item 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS****Issuer Purchases of Equity Securities**

The following table provides information regarding the Company's repurchases of its common stock during the three months ended June 30, 2010:

<b>Period</b>	<b>Total Number of Shares Purchased</b>	<b>Average Price Paid per Share</b>	<b>Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Program</b>	<b>Approximate Dollar Value of Shares that May Yet Be Purchased Under the Program</b>
April 1 through April 30, 2010	39,100	\$ 19.04	39,100	\$ 37,804,000
May 1 through May 31, 2010	270,600	18.77	270,600	32,725,000
June 1 through June 30, 2010	369,400	18.53	369,400	25,880,000
Total	679,100	\$ 18.65	679,100	

- (1) In fiscal 2005, we announced that our board of directors approved a stock repurchase program authorizing us, from time to time as market and business conditions warrant, to acquire up to \$80 million of our common stock, and that we intend to use existing cash and cash equivalents to fund these repurchases. In May 2006, our board of directors approved an increase of \$30 million to the stock repurchase program, bringing the total of the approved program to \$110 million. In March 2007, our board of directors approved an increase of \$100 million to its current repurchase authorization, bringing the total authorization to \$210 million, of which approximately \$26 million remains available. In June 2007, we implemented this previously announced increase to our share repurchase program. There is no guarantee as to the exact number of shares that will be repurchased by us. Repurchased shares are returned to the status of authorized but unissued shares of common stock. In March 2005, our board of directors approved a plan under Rule 10b5-1 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 to facilitate the repurchase of shares of common stock under the existing stock repurchase program. Under our Rule 10b5-1 plan, we have delegated authority over the timing and amount of repurchases to an independent broker who does not have access to inside information about the Company. Rule 10b5-1 allows us, through the independent broker, to purchase shares at times when we ordinarily would not be in the market because of self-imposed trading blackout periods, such as the time immediately preceding the end of the fiscal quarter through a period three business days following our quarterly earnings release. During the three months ended June 30, 2010, all shares were purchased in open-market transactions.



## Table of Contents

### **Item 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES**

Not applicable.

### **Item 5. OTHER INFORMATION**

Not applicable.

### **Item 6. EXHIBITS**

The following lists exhibits filed as part of this quarterly report on Form 10-Q:

<b>Exhibit No.</b>	<b>Description</b>
3.01(1)	Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, and amendments thereto
3.02(2)	Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company
4.01(3)	Form of Common Stock Certificate
31.01	Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to SEC Rule 13a-14, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.02	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to SEC Rule 13a-14, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.01	* Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.02	* Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101.INS	** XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
101.CAL	** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
101.LAB	** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
101.PRE	** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase
101.DEF	** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase

\* This certification is not deemed “filed” for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section. Such certification will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, except to the extent that the Company specifically incorporates it by reference.

\*\* Furnished, not filed

- (1) Incorporated herein by reference to registrant’s current report on Form 8-K filed July 30, 2007.
- (2) Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the registrant’s current report on Form 8-K filed December 18, 2008.
- (3) Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.01 to the registrant’s Registration Statement No. 33-88292 on Form S-1.

**SIGNATURE**

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

**ACI WORLDWIDE, INC.**  
(Registrant)

Date: July 30, 2010

By: /s/ SCOTT W. BEHRENS  
Scott W. Behrens  
*Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer  
and Chief Accounting Officer  
(Principal Financial Officer)*

**EXHIBIT INDEX**

<b>Exhibit No.</b>		<b>Description</b>
3.01(1)		Amended and Restated Certificate of Incorporation of the Company, and amendments thereto
3.02(2)		Amended and Restated Bylaws of the Company
4.01(3)		Form of Common Stock Certificate
31.01		Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to SEC Rule 13a-14, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
31.02		Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to SEC Rule 13a-14, as adopted pursuant to Section 302 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.01	*	Certification of Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
32.02	*	Certification of Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002
101.INS	**	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH	**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
101.CAL	**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
101.LAB	**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
101.PRE	**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase
101.DEF	**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase

\* This certification is not deemed “filed” for purposes of Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, or otherwise subject to the liability of that section. Such certification will not be deemed to be incorporated by reference into any filing under the Securities Act of 1933 or the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, except to the extent that the Company specifically incorporates it by reference.

\*\* Furnished, not filed

(1) Incorporated herein by reference to registrant’s current report on Form 8-K filed July 30, 2007.

(2) Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 3.2 to the registrant’s current report on Form 8-K filed December 18, 2008.

(3) Incorporated herein by reference to Exhibit 4.01 to the registrant’s Registration Statement No. 33-88292 on Form S-1.

**CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER**

I, Philip G. Heasley, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of ACI Worldwide, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonable likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: July 30, 2010

/s/ PHILIP G. HEASLEY

Philip G. Heasley  
President, Chief Executive Officer  
and Director  
(Principal Executive Officer)

**CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL OFFICER**

I, Scott W. Behrens, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q of ACI Worldwide, Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements, and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
  - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
  - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
  - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures, as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
  - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonable likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
  - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
  - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: July 30, 2010

/s/ SCOTT W. BEHRENS

---

Scott W. Behrens  
*Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and  
Chief Accounting Officer  
(Principal Financial Officer)*

**CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL EXECUTIVE OFFICER PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the quarterly report of ACI Worldwide, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Philip G. Heasley, Principal Executive Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to my knowledge:

- 1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Sections 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- 2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: July 30, 2010

/s/ PHILIP G. HEASLEY

Philip G. Heasley  
*President, Chief Executive Officer  
and Director  
(Principal Executive Officer)*

**CERTIFICATION OF PRINCIPAL FINANCIAL OFFICER PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,  
AS ADOPTED PURSUANT TO SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

In connection with the quarterly report of ACI Worldwide, Inc. (the "Company") on Form 10-Q for the quarter ended June 30, 2010 as filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission on the date hereof (the "Report"), I, Scott W. Behrens, Principal Financial Officer of the Company, certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to my knowledge:

- 1) The Report fully complies with the requirements of Sections 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934; and
- 2) The information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Date: July 30, 2010

/s/ SCOTT W. BEHRENS

Scott W. Behrens

*Senior Vice President, Chief Financial Officer and*

*Chief Accounting Officer*

*(Principal Financial Officer)*